Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ceramint



Structure of NaF-TeO2 glasses and glass-ceramics

E.F. El Agammy^{a,*}, H. Doweidar^a, K. El-Egili^a, R. Ramadan^b, Mariusz Jaremko^c, Abdul-Hamid Emwas^d

^a Glass Research Group, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, 35516, Egypt

^b Microwave and Dielectrics Department, Physics Division, National Research Centre, Dokki, 12311, Cairo, Egypt

^c King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering (BESE), 23955-6900, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

^d King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Core Labs, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

A R T I C L E I N F O	A B S T R A C T
Keywords:	The structure of NaF–TeO ₂ glasses and glass-ceramics has been studied by XRD, TEM, SEM, Raman and FTIR
NaF–TeO ₂ glasses	techniques. The results suggest that, for NaF \leq 10 mol%, the entire NaF content enters the structure to convert
Raman and FTIR techniques	TeO ₄ units into TeO _{3/2} F and Na ⁺ [TeO ₃₊₁] ⁻ units. It has also been shown that NaF partially forms amorphous
Amorphous and crystalline NaF	and/or crystalline phases for higher NaF content, where the relative concentration of each phase depends on the
Concentration of structural species	NaF content. SEM images show agglomerates of different sizes, which are discrete and spread within the
	structure. XRD revealed formation of crystalline Te ₂ O ₃ F ₂ for NaF \leq 50 mol%, and a dominant phase of crys-
	talline NaF for NaF $>$ 50 mol%. Raman and FTIR spectra have been analyzed to calculate the concentrations of

the various structural units in glasses and glass-ceramics.

1. Introduction

Tellurite glasses are distinguished by high values of refractive index (usually larger than 2.0), wide infrared transmittance (expanded up to 6 μ m), and good chemical durability [1–3]. As optical materials, oxy-fluoride tellurite glass-ceramics have certain advantages, such as low phonon energy. The highest phonon energy in tellurite glasses (equivalent to ~ 750 cm⁻¹) is quite lower than that in borate-(~1400 cm⁻¹), phosphate- (~1300 cm⁻¹) and silicate glasses (~1100 cm⁻¹) [1,4]. They also have high optical transmittance, low melting temperature and high up-conversion efficiency [2,5–7].

Without a modifier and/or other types of glass formers, tellurium oxide cannot form a glass under normal conditions [8–12]. It is indicated that [13,14] TeO₂ glass consists of TeO₄ trigonal bipyramids (tbp's), with a lone pair of electrons occupying one of the equatorial sites. The connection between most of Te atoms is through vertices by linkage of Te–_{eq}O_{ax}–Te. It is stated that [9,15,16] a distorted TeO₄ tbp is the principal structural unit in tellurite glasses with high TeO₂-content. Increasing the content of modifier oxide leads to an increase in the fraction of TeO₃ trigonal pyramids. By using different techniques [17–19] it is deduced that with adding modifiers in tellurite glasses, there are regrouped tetrahedra besides to the tbp's in which the equatorial and axial sites of each tellurium atom has three and one oxygen atoms (TeO₃₊₁ units), respectively.

Tellurite glass-ceramics containing nanocrystals were obtained upon preparing oxyfluoride tellurite glass-ceramics [20–22]. Because of the easy devitrification of tellurite glasses, oxyfluoride tellurite glassceramics cannot attain a high degree of transparency. Several studies on the effects of replacing oxygen ions by fluorine ions were reported for oxyhalide tellurite glasses. In XZnF₂·(85 – X)TeO₂·12PbO·3Nb₂O₅ glasses (X = 0–40 mol%) [23] it has been found that addition of ZnF₂ allows substitution of a Te–F for Te–O bindings. Because F⁻ ion has almost the same radius as O²⁻ ion, and its electronegativity is higher there is a high probability that oxygen ions will be replaced by fluorine ions. Interstitial positions could also be occupied by F⁻ ions. In these glasses TeO₃, TeO₃₊₁ and/or Te(O,F)₃ and Te(O,F)₃₊₁ units are formed at the expense of TeO₄ units upon substituting ZnF₂ for TeO₂.

Fluorine ions also contribute to modification of borate glasses in a similar manner. Doweidar et al. [24–27] pointed out that F^- ions mainly tend to modify the tetrahedral borate units in MF₂–B₂O₃ glasses (M = Ca, Ba, Cd and Pb). $M_{1/2}^{2+}[BO_{3/2}F]^-$ units can be formed in the borate network due to incorporation of MF₂. Formation of Na⁺[BO_{3/2}F]⁻ and Me_{1/2}²⁺[BO_{3/2}F]⁻ oxyfluoride structural units was proposed by Sokolov et al. [28] in MeF₂–Na₂B₄O₇ glasses where (Me = Mg, Ca, Sr and Ba). Recently, El Agammy et al. [29] proposed formation of Pb_{1/2}²⁺[TeO₃₊₁]⁻ and TeO_{3/2}F units in PbF₂–TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics through modifying TeO₄ tbp's units by F⁻ ions.

The work reported here investigates the structure of NaF-TeO2

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: e.f.elagamy@gmail.com (E.F. El Agammy).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2020.04.161

Received 19 February 2020; Received in revised form 14 April 2020; Accepted 15 April 2020 Available online 20 April 2020 0272-8842/ © 2020 Elsevier Ltd and Techna Group S.r.l. All rights reserved. glasses and glass-ceramics, by using XRD, SEM, TEM, Raman and IR spectroscopy. Its main objective is to explore the type and concentration of structural units as a function of NaF content.

2. Experimental

As start materials with high purity (99%, Sigma-Aldrich), reagent grade of TeO₂ and NaF were used to prepare glasses and glass-ceramics with composition XNaF·(100–X)TeO₂ ($0 \le X \le 75$ mol%). After thorough mixing, the components were placed and melted in silica crucibles in an electric furnace, under normal atmospheric conditions. Batches were melted for 30 min, at 800–850 °C, depending on their respective compositions. The crucible was swirled repeatedly until the melt became visually homogeneous. Glass disks were obtained at room temperature after the melt was dropped on a steel plate and compressed by another one. Finally, a desiccator was used to keep the obtained samples until required.

X-ray diffractometer (Bruker AXS D8 Advance) was used to record X-ray diffraction patterns (XRD) of the prepared glass and glass-ceramic samples, using a Cu K_{\alpha} X-ray tube radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) with a Super Speed position sensitive detector and a Gobel Mirror. The range of the 2-theta scale was 4[°]-70° with 0.4 s as a dwell time.

A JEOL–JEM–2100 was used to carry out transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and obtain electron diffraction patterns (EDP), at an electron acceleration voltage of 200 kV. The microstructure and surface modification of the glass and glass-ceramic samples were investigated using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) model JEOL–JSM–6510 LV, with magnification up 40,000 X, operating at 20 kV and attached with an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometer. Gold was used as a coating material for SEM and TEM investigations.

Raman spectra were recorded for powder samples with Bruker dispersive Raman microscope (Senterra model). The range of wavenumber is from 50 to 1200 cm⁻¹. The data were recorded at intervals of 4.4 cm^{-1} with two scans for each sample. A He/Ne laser at 532 nm and power of 10 mW was used to excite the specimen.

The infrared absorption spectra were obtained at room temperature in the range 400–2000 cm⁻¹ by a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (Mattson 5000 FTIR Spectrometer) with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. Transparent discs were made by subjecting portions of the mixture of 5 mg glass powder and 1 gm KBr to a load of 5 tons/cm². IR absorption spectra were recorded for freshly prepared discs. The deconvolution technique has been used to analyze the IR spectra [30,31].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. X-ray diffraction and electron microscopy

Fig. 1 represents the XRD patterns of fused NaF, fused TeO₂ and XNaF·(100–X)TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics ($10 \le X \le 75 \text{ mol}\%$). The pattern of fused NaF has two principal sharp peaks at $2\theta = 38.8^{\circ}$ and 56.06°, showing the crystalline nature of fused NaF. The pattern of fused TeO₂ exhibits many sharp peaks in the region ~22–66°. It is worth noting that, under normal conditions, tellurium oxide cannot form a glass without a modifier [11,12,32].

A broad diffraction hump in the range of 2θ from 12° to 44° , can be observed for glasses and glass-ceramics with NaF ≥ 10 mol%. This is characteristic of the presence of amorphous matrix in the glasses and glass-ceramics. A sharp decrease is shown in the intensity of the three major diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 26.09^{\circ}$, 29.81° and 48.53° with the first addition of NaF (10 mol%). These peaks are related to α -TeO₂ crystalline phase (card 78-1713). The decrease in intensity of these peaks reflects a decrease in concentration of the TeO₄ tbp units. This might be accompanied by an increase in the concentration of TeO₃, TeO₃₊₁ and/or Te(O,F)₃ and Te(O,F)₃₊₁ units. Similar structural changes have been observed in previous separate studies on lead and zinc fluorotellurite glasses [29,33]. In the composition range ($20 \leq X \leq 50$ mol%) new

diffraction peaks start appearing at $(2\theta = 27.62^{\circ} \text{ and } 29.35^{\circ})$, which belong to crystalline phases of Te₂O₃F₂ (card 89-0619).

For glasses with $20 \le X \le 30$ mol% the intensity of the peaks at $2\theta = 27.62^{\circ}$ and 29.35° , increases with increasing NaF content, and then decreases for NaF ≥ 30 mol%. The decrease in intensity may be due to the decrease in quantity of TeO₂ available to form Te₂O₃F₂ crystal phase with increasing the NaF content.

For compositions with NaF > 50 mol%, the intensity of the diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 38.77^{\circ}$ and 56.2° increases with increasing NaF content. These peaks belong to the NaF crystalline phase (cards 89-2956, 89-3614, 73-1922 and 36-1455) and are identical in position to those of fused NaF. The evolution of these peaks, with increasing intensity, suggests formation of crystalline NaF in these glasses and glassceramics. In crystalline NaF, Na+ ions are octahedrally coordinated with F⁻ ions [34]. By using Scherrer's equation in XRD patterns, we found that the mean size of this crystalline phase was \sim 20.50 nm at NaF = 65 mol%. Therefore, for higher NaF content (> 50 mol%) it is assumed that most of NaF forms a crystalline phase from NaF6 octahedral units. Recently, El Agammy et al. [29] stated that in xPbF₂·(100x)TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics ($0 \le x \le 90 \mod \%$), for $PbF_2 > 50$ mol%, amorphous and crystalline polyhedral units were formed, where PbF₂ forms cubic crystals. Also, Rojo et al. [35] indicated that, in XLiF (100-X)TeO_2 glasses with LiF > 50 mol%, characteristic peaks of crystalline LiF were observed in the X-ray diffraction patterns.

Electron diffraction patterns in certain regions of the TEM micrographs show amorphous and crystalline phases (Fig. 2). Crystalline phases can be correlated with the XRD patterns in Fig. 1. In the composition range ($20 \le X \le 50 \text{ mol}\%$) the peaks shown in Fig. 1 are related to crystalline Te₂O₃F₂ phase. This phase appears at NaF = 30 mol% in transmission electron micrographs as dark regions spreading within the structure as in Fig. 2a.

The diffused circles in EDP patterns in Fig. 2a are characteristic of the amorphous matrix at NaF = 30 mol%, which might be characteristic of a NaF-TeO₂ phase. Fig. 2b shows that for a higher concentration of NaF (65 mol%) there is a crystalline phase. By comparing with Fig. 1, this phase might be NaF. It appears as dark regions in the TEM micrograph. The amorphous regions identified by EDP in Fig. 2b appear in TEM micrograph as gray particles. These particles are assumed to contain a large portion of the glassy NaF phase.

The morphology of the NaF–TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics was investigated using SEM. Before using SEM, some samples were etched in 5% HF solution for 10 min. Fig. 3 describes the microstructure of the glasses and glass-ceramics samples with NaF = 0, 10, 30, 50 and 65 mol% after etching process.

Fig. 3a shows the SEM micrograph of fused TeO_2 (NaF = 0 mol%). The general feature is the presence of discrete particles of different sizes, dispersed in a continuous matrix. On the basis of XRD pattern Fig. 1, these particles can be attributed to α -TeO₂, the dominant crystalline phase for this composition. The base matrix in Fig. 3a may be formed of residual disordered TeO₂ phase that could not crystallize. Fig. 3b (NaF = 10 mol%) shows the presence of small white grains dispersed on the surface and in the bulk of mostly rectangular rods of width \sim 50–70 µm. At higher magnification, it appears that the white grains form agglomerates dispersed in a continuous matrix (the darker background base). By comparing with the related XRD pattern in Fig. 1, the dominant crystalline phase in this composition must be α -TeO₂. Therefore, the grain-like aspect seen on the SEM micrographs is likely due to the presence of α -TeO₂ phase, with a background base of glassy NaF-TeO₂ matrix. Fig. 1 shows that the XRD pattern of sample with NaF = 10 mol% has the broadest hump in all samples which reveals that this sample contains the greatest fraction of the glassy phase through the system.

Condensed agglomerates are seen on the micrograph of the sample containing 30 mol% NaF (Fig. 3c); they are different in shape from those in Fig. 3b. These agglomerates consist of clusters of different sizes.



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of fused TeO₂, fused NaF and XNaF·(100–X)TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics where ($0 \le X \le 75$ mol%) divided to two figures (a) ($0 \le X \le 40$ mol%), (b) ($50 \le X \le 75$ mol%) and fused NaF.

As the XRD pattern in Fig. 1 indicates, the prevalent crystalline phase in this composition is $Te_2O_3F_2$.

The micrograph of the sample with 50 mol% NaF (Fig. 3d) shows the presence of two types of precipitates: micro-rods and platelets. Based on the XRD patterns (Fig. 1), the crystalline phases found in this composition are NaF and Te₂O₃F₂. By comparing Fig. 3d with Fig. 3c, it can be assumed that the micro-rods in Fig. 3d are developed from the agglomerates appearing in Fig. 3c, i.e. these rods form the $Te_2O_3F_2$ crystalline phase. It follows that the platelets in Fig. 3d are the basis of crystalline NaF phase. According to Fig. 1, the XRD patterns of samples with NaF > 50 mol% are dominated by peaks of crystalline NaF. Therefore, it is supposed that in sample with X = 65 mol%, NaF is present in the glass-ceramic matrix in the form of amorphous and crystalline phases, as observed by SEM (Fig. 3e). Fig. 3e shows white agglomerates, assumed to be crystalline NaF developed from the platelets in Fig. 3d, in the structure of glass-ceramic. These agglomerates are discrete and spread out within the structure. It is expected that the fraction and degree of crystallinity increase with increasing NaF content. XRD patterns in Fig. 1 show that when the content of NaF in the samples with NaF > 50 mol% increases, the intensity of the peaks related to crystalline NaF increases. Fig. 4a shows higher magnification of the agglomerates of crystalline NaF phase in the sample containing 65 mol% NaF without etching, whereas the agglomerates have spherical form. They are discrete, separated and can be observed in samples with and without etching. The appearance of these agglomerates in samples, before (Fig. 4a) and after (Fig. 3e) etching, reveals that the etching process is useful to get more clarification of the formed phases without other influence on the structure. By using ImageJ software program in SEM micrographs of the sample 65 mol% NaF (Fig. 3e) it is found that the mean size of particles formed in this sample is \sim (21.52 ± 12) nm. The distribution of the particle size has a maximum in the region from 10 to 20 nm and represents \sim 50% of the total distribution as shown in Fig. 4b. EDX results for different regions of the sample with 65 mol% NaF (Fig. 5), as an example, reveal that only Na, F, Te and O elements are observed. This indicates that the sample has no contamination from the crucible.

3.2. Raman spectra

Raman [14] and NMR [36] spectroscopies revealed the formation of a three-dimensional network of paratellurite α -TeO₂. The basic building unit is TeO₄ tbp, sharing vertices and having just only Te-eqOax-Te linkages. Further, pure TeO₂ glass is built up of distorted TeO₄ tbp units. It has been confirmed by many reports [37,38] on Raman scattering spectra that increasing the content of alkali- and/or alkalineearth oxides generates a gradual change of the structural unit of TeO₂based glasses, from an asymmetric TeO₄ tbp to a TeO₃ trigonal pyramid (tp) through TeO_{3+1} polyhedra. Tatar et al. [39] showed that, for a binary CdF2-TeO2 glass system, with compositions below 20 mol% CdF_2 , the transformation rate of TeO_4 to TeO_{3+1} units is constant, and that it decreases for $CdF_2 > 20$ mol%. For compositions with $CdF_2 > 15$ mol% there is an increase of the transformation from TeO₄ to TeO_3 units. Some authors [40,41] showed that, in oxyfluoride tellurite glasses, the strong Te-O covalent network tends to be broken up by F⁻ ions by forming non-bridging, ionic M-F bonds (where M is a cation).

The spectra shown in Fig. 6 can be used to get the fraction N_4 of four coordinated tellurium atoms TeO₄ tbp in the studied glasses and glassceramics. N_4 is the ratio of the concentration of tellurium atoms in trigonal bipyramid units with four bridging oxygen atoms to the total concentration of tellurium atoms in the matrix. By using the deconvolution technique [31,42,43], the area under the absorption envelopes can be determined and used to calculate N_4 in Raman spectra (Appendix 1). This technique was recently used for tellurite glasses by El Agammy et al. [29] for Raman and IR spectroscopies. Fig. 7 clarifies the deconvolution, in Gaussian bands, of IR spectrum for the sample 50NaF–50TeO₂, as an example.

Table 1 shows the assignment used in the deconvolution technique for Raman and IR spectra. Fig. 8 shows the dependence of N_4 as obtained from Raman and IR spectra on NaF content. The average N_4 and theoretical values calculated from the formula $N_4 = 1-2X/(100-X)$, where X is the concentration of NaF (mol%) [29,36] in XNaF-(100–X)TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics, are also presented. It appears



Fig. 2. Transmission electron micrographs and electron diffraction patterns of NaF–TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics having (a) 30 and (b) 65 mol% NaF. Electron diffraction patterns are obtained from the area inside the showed rectangles.

that N_4 decreases when increasing the content of NaF. The curve can be divided in two regions: the first one is that for NaF ≤ 10 mol%, where N_4 decreases almost linearly with increasing NaF content, and the second one is the region for NaF > 10 mol%, where N_4 tends to reach a steady value (~0.60 ± 0.05). A similar behavior [29] was observed in *x*PbF₂·(1-*x*)TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics (0 $\leq x \leq 90$ mol%) where N_4 decreased almost linearly for PbF₂ ≤ 10 mol% and for PbF₂ > 10 mol%, it decreases gradually with a decreasing rate. Further, the change was rather limited for PbF₂ ≥ 30 mol%.

Fig. 9 presents a graphical illustration of different structural units in NaF–TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics. These are proposed forms of the

evolved structural units when NaF is added to TeO₂. Upon introducing NaF, the bond between O and Te atoms in Te- $_{eq}O_{ax}$ -Te linkage will be broken and [TeO₃₊₁]⁻ with one non-bridging oxygen and TeO_{3/2}F units are formed (Fig. 9b). The negative charge on [TeO₃₊₁]⁻ unit will be compensated with the positive charge of Na⁺ ion and form a Na⁺ [TeO₃₊₁]⁻ unit. For NaF > 10 mol% two TeO_{3/2}F units are linked together to form a Te₂O₃F₂ unit with three bridging oxygens (Fig. 9c), then crystalline octahedral NaF phase is formed. To understand the behavior in Fig. 9, it is worth recalling the theoretical hypothesis introduced by Sakida et al. [36]. In the composition xNaF·(1–x)TeO₂, with *x* being the molar fraction of NaF, the molar fraction of tellurium is



Fig. 3. Scanning electron micrographs of NaF–TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics having (a) 0, (b) 10, (c) 30, (d) 50 and (e) 65 mol% NaF after etching. From left, figures have three magnifications x500, x2500 and x5000 for each sample with length of scale bar 50 μ m, 10 μ m and 5 μ m, respectively.



Fig. 4. (a) Scanning electron micrograph before etching of 65NaF-35TeO₂ glass-ceramic at x10000 magnification and 1 μ m length of scale bar, (b) distribution of particle size.





Fig. 6. Normalized Raman spectra of the XNaF·(100–X)TeO₂ TeO₂glasses and glass-ceramics. Numbers on the graphs refers to the content of NaF (mol%).

(1–*x*), and every NaF molecule converts two TeO₄ to $[\text{TeO}_{3+1}]^-$ and TeO_{3/2}F units. The number of modified units is 2*x*, hence N_3 and N_4 are represented by:

$$N_3 = 2x/(1-x)$$
(1)

$$N_4 = 1 - N_3$$
 (2)

where N_3 is the ratio of concentration of all tellurium atoms that do not

Fig. 5. Energy dispersive X-rays (EDX) analysis for different regions of the sample with 65 mol% NaF.

10

8

12

14

16

18 20 keV

0 2 4 6 Full Scale 6320 cts Cursor: 0.000



Fig. 7. Deconvolution of Raman spectrum of the glass-ceramic 50NaF–50TeO₂ as an example.

have four bridging oxygens to the total concentration of tellurium atoms in the matrix. The data presented in Fig. 8 can be analyzed following the same method as the one used in previous studies [26,27,29]. The almost linear decrease of N_4 with NaF content up to 10 mol% implies that in the glass network the entire content of NaF converts TeO₄ units into [TeO₃₊₁]⁻ and TeO_{3/2}F units. In this case, each NaF molecule converts two TeO₄ units into TeO_{3/2}F and Na⁺[TeO₃₊₁]⁻ units. In addition to these units, NaF partially modifies the tellurite network in the region of NaF > 10 mol% forming Te₂O₃F₂ crystal phase and the rest of NaF starts to form its own amorphous matrix in the form of NaF₆ octahedra. This conclusion is consistent with the crystalline phases exhibited in the XRD patterns of samples with NaF > 10 mol% (Fig. 1).

In TeF₄ crystal, the Te–F stretching vibration absorption peaks in Raman spectra occur at 190, 293, 337, 395, 567, 659, 696 and 731 cm⁻¹ [59]. Yoko et al. [60] stated that, according to the Bent rule, F atoms added to TeO₂ in LiF–TeO₂ glasses possibly take an axial position where the electronegativity of F atom is larger than that of O atom. It is suggested that, when adding NaF to TeO₂ it breaks Te–_{eq}O_{ax}–Te bridging bond. F will take an axial position and the formed non-bridging oxygen in an equatorial position will have high ability of donating electrons [60]. This causes strengthening of the Te–O_{eq} bond and the negative charge on this bond will be compensated with that of Na⁺ ion.

Based on the above assumptions, the concentration of developed



Fig. 8. Compositional change of the fraction N_4 of TeO₄ tbp in the glasses and glass-ceramics investigated. N_4 values are calculated from Raman spectra (\Box), IR spectra (\diamond), average values obtained from Raman and IR spectra (\bullet) and theoretical data (\bigcirc). N_4 values are accurate to \pm 7%.



Fig. 9. Schematic presentation of different structural units in NaF–TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics. (a) α -TeO₂ (TeO₄ units) (b) TeO_{3/2}F and Na⁺[TeO₃₊₁]⁻ units (c) Te₂O₃F₂ and NaF₆ units.

structural units can be obtained as follows:

$$C_{\rm Te4} = N_4 C_{\rm Te} \tag{3}$$

$$C_{\mathrm{Te3}} = C_{\mathrm{Te}} - N_4 C_{\mathrm{Te}} \tag{4}$$

Table (1)

Band assignment of II	R and Raman spectra	for XNaF (100-X)TeO ₂	glasses and glass-ceramics.
0	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 0

Infrared bands		Raman bands	
Band center (cm ⁻¹)	Assignment	Band center (cm ⁻¹)	Assignment
418–446	Cationic vibration [44–46]	63, 122, 149, 159, 392, 588, 646, 649	Te–O stretching vibrations in TeO ₄ [14], [from Raman spectrum of fused TeO_2 in present study]
~565	Te–O vibrations, where the O anions were considered as NBO [47]	~270	bending vibration of TeO_3 tp having two or three NBO atoms [48]
590-600	Te–O stretching vibrations in TeO_{3+1} units [49,50]	~330	bending vibration of TeO_3 tp having two or three NBO atoms [51]
620–670	Te–O stretching vibrations in TeO ₄ [49]	430–460	Bending and stretching vibrations of Te–O–Te or O–Te–O linkages [14,37,52–54]
677–688	Te–O stretching vibrations in TeO ₄ [46]	600-615	Te–O stretching vibrations in TeO ₄ [14,37,55]
680–724	Te–O bending vibrations in TeO ₃ units and TeO ₆ units [44]	660–690	Te–O stretching vibrations in TeO ₄ [38,56–58]
756–767	Te–O bending vibrations in TeO ₃ [46]	720–760	Te–O bending vibrations in TeO ₃ units [37,55,58]
770–790	Te-O stretching vibrations in TeO ₄ [49]	770–780	Te–O bending vibrations in TeO ₃ units [37,55]
817-875	Stretching vibrations mode of TeO3 tp with NBOs	767 and 293	Te(O, F) ₃ units [58]
	[47]	677 and 443	Te(O, F) ₄ units [58]



Fig. 10. Dependence on NaF content of C_{Te4} , C_{Te3} , $C_{\text{Na(m)}}$ and $C_{\text{Na(f)}}$. C_{Te3} is the quantity of TeO₂ (mol%) converted to TeO₃, TeO₃₊₁ and/or Te(O,F)₃, Te (O,F)₃₊₁ units, C_{Te4} represents the quantity of TeO₂ that forms TeO₄ tbp units. $C_{\text{Na(m)}}$ attributes to the quantity of NaF that works as modifier and $C_{\text{Na(f)}}$ is that creating NaF₆ units (the NaF former part).

$$C_{\rm Na(m)} = C_{\rm Te3} / 2 \tag{5}$$

$$C_{\rm Na(f)} = C_{\rm Na} - C_{\rm Na(m)}.$$
 (6)

Here C_{Te3} is the quantity (mol%) of TeO₂ converted to TeO₃, TeO₃₊₁ and/or Te(O,F)₃, Te(O,F)₃₊₁ units, C_{Te} is the total TeO₂ content in glass and glass-ceramic. C_{Te4} represents the quantity of TeO₂ in the form of ${\rm TeO_4}$ tbp units. $C_{\rm Na(m)}$ refers to the quantity of NaF in (mol%) that works as modifier and $C_{\text{Na(f)}}$ is the NaF former part, which forms NaF₆ units. The quantities in Eqs. (3)-(6) can be obtained by using the average N_4 values obtained from the Raman and IR spectra (Fig. 8). Fig. 10 shows that, with increasing NaF content, C_{Te4} decreases almost linearly in two regions. These are 0 \leq NaF ≤ 10 mol% and 20 \leq NaF \leq 70 mol%, where the rate of change is lower in the second region. At the same time, C_{Te3} and C_{Na(m)} show a maximum at 20 mol% NaF. C_{Na(f)} seems to be negligible up to 10 mol% NaF and then it steadily increases with increasing NaF content. Decreasing of C_{Te4} and increasing of C_{Te3} for NaF \leq 20 mol% reflect greater ability of NaF to modify the tellurite matrix in this region, as deduced from the high decreasing rate in N_4 (Fig. 8). The increase of $C_{\text{Na(f)}}$ for NaF > 10 mol% and the decrease of C_{Te3} and $C_{\text{Na(m)}}$ for NaF ≥ 20 mol% indicate that NaF starts to form its own matrix, beside modifying the tellurite network. There is a limited decrease in N_4 for NaF ≥ 20 mol% (Fig. 8), which might indicate that most of the added NaF content is consumed for forming its matrix and sharing in forming Te₂O₃F₂ phase. A similar trend was noticed before in PbF2-TeO2 glasses and glass-ceramics [29]. The conclusions drawn from this analysis are in good agreement with those obtained from the XRD, TEM and SEM results.

3.3. Infrared spectra

The IR spectrum of pure TeO₂ glass is dominated by a shoulder at \sim 780 cm⁻¹ and a major wide absorption band at \sim 635 cm⁻¹ [50]. According to some investigators [49], the first band is related to vibrations of the symmetric Te–O_{ax} bond and the second one is related to asymmetric vibrations of the Te–O_{eq} bond in the units of TeO₄. In a recent study based on first principles calculations [61], the bond order has values of 0.3 and 1.7 for these two bonds, respectively. So, the first bond (2.12 Å) can be categorized as mostly covalent, while the second bond (1.87 Å) is most likely of electrostatic nature.

Yoko et al. [60] showed that the center of axial band observed at $\sim 628 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the infrared spectra of LiX–TeO₂ glasses (X = Br, Cl, F and O) shifted towards lower wavenumbers with increasing LiX content. The extent of the shift in the band center followed the sequence:



Fig. 11. Normalized IR spectra of fused TeO_2 , $XNaF \cdot (100-X)TeO_2$ glasses and glass-ceramics and fused NaF. Numbers on the graphs refer to the content of NaF (mol%).

Br < Cl < F < O. A decrease in the bond strength of Te–O_{ax} in the same order revealed that the weakening of a bond is responsible for the shift towards lower wavenumbers.

The spectra shown in Fig. 11 can be used to obtain the N_4 fraction of four coordinated tellurium atoms TeO₄ tbp in the studied glasses and glass-ceramics. By using the deconvolution technique we can calculate N_4 from IR spectra. Fig. 12 clarifies an example of the deconvolution parameters and the deconvoluted pattern of the IR spectra of XNaF·(100–X)TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics. Deconvolution data are given in Appendix 2. Fig. 8 shows the dependence of N_4 which is calculated



Fig. 12. Deconvolution of the IR spectrum of the 50NaF–50TeO₂ sample.

from Raman and IR spectra. There is a similarity in behavior between the curve of N_4 related to Raman spectra and that of IR spectra and the values of N_4 are close to each other with an average standard deviation (± 0.038). Similar conclusions to those obtained from the analyzed Raman spectra can be drawn for the structural changes of TeO₂ with increasing NaF content.

4. Conclusion

NaF enters the glass and glass-ceramic network to convert TeO₄ units into TeO₃, TeO₃₊₁ and/or Te(O,F)₃, Te(O,F)₃₊₁ with a nonbridging Te–F bond. The remaining NaF enters the structure in both amorphous and crystalline phase forms, presumably as NaF₆ octahedra. It also participates in the formation of the crystalline Te₂O₃F₂ phase in

compositions with NaF > 10 mol% as evidenced by XRD. Raman and FTIR spectroscopies are used to obtain the fraction N_4 of TeO₄ tbp units. The latter could be used to calculate the concentration of the various structural species in the studied compositions. Separated agglomerates of Te₂O₃F₂ phase forming grains of different size are detected by SEM. For NaF > 50 mol%, crystalline NaF is the dominant phase.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendices

Appendix (1)

Deconvolution parameters of Raman spectra of the XNaF (100-X)TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics. B.C is the component band centre (cm⁻¹), A is the area of the component bands and R.A. is the relative area (%) of the component band.

NaF (mol%)	Structu	ral units	and band	l paramete	ers												
0	group	TeO4															
5	B.C A R.A. group	62.5 1.9 2.4 TeO ₄	121.6 15.7 20.0	148.7 17.5 22.3	158.9 12.1 15.4	392.1 7.0 8.9 TeO ₃	588.4 1.2 1.6 TeO ₄	645.7 15.2 19.4	649.4 6.5 8.3	718.1 0.6 0.7	772.4 0.7 0.8 TeO ₃						
10	B.C A R.A. group	62.7 2.1 2.5 TeO ₄	121.3 14.9 17.7	148.6 15.5 18.3	151.2 14.7 17.4	220.1 1.6 1.9 TeO ₃	392.4 7.3 8.6 TeO ₄	589.8 1.9 2.2 TeO ₃	640.8 6.6 7.9 TeO ₄	645.8 13.2 15.6 TeO ₃	675.7 4.2 5.0 TeO ₄	718.9 0.9 1.1 TeO ₃	770.8 1.5 1.8				
20	B.C A R.A. group	62.8 2.3 2.5 TeO ₄	121.4 16.4 17.6	148.6 16.4 17.6	153.2 14.7 15.7	222.1 1.2 1.3 TeO ₃	390.7 5.2 5.6	407.9 3.8 4.1	590.1 2.1 2.2	629.5 3.3 3.6 TeO ₄	646.0 17.9 19.2	675.7 6.2 6.6	718.7 1.3 1.4	769.5 2.4 2.6 TeO ₃			
30	B.C A R.A. group	64.5 0.7 0.6 TeO ₄	115.5 5.1 4.6	142.6 5.2 4.7	158.9 3.0 2.7 TeO ₃	175.5 2.7 2.5	224.3 0.5 0.4	423.5 8.8 8.0	456.7 16.2 14.6	590.0 1.5 1.3 TeO ₄	610.2 1.7 1.5	671.1 40.3 36.3	682.0 13.0 11.7	771.1 10.5 9.4 TeO ₃	817.8 1.9 1.7		
40	B.C A R.A. group	60.7 0.4 0.4 TeO ₄	116.2 9.0 8.7	145.3 11.4 10.9	174.6 6.6 6.3 TeO ₃	221.1 1.2 1.2	303.7 1.4 1.3	423.4 11.1 10.7	431.9 10.1 9.7	608.6 1.9 1.8 TeO ₄	645.1 1.1 1.1	675.8 26.5 25.5	682.1 17.2 16.5	789.5 3.8 3.7 TeO ₃	818.2 2.4 2.3		
50	B.C A R.A. group	64.4 0.8 0.7 TeO ₄	115.8 6.2 5.8	144.2 8.2 7.6	174.3 4.5 4.2 TeO ₃	223.2 0.6 0.6	303.9 0.7 0.7	423.5 8.7 8.1	450.5 11.1 10.4	609.1 1.1 1.0 TeO ₄	668.3 37.2 34.8	672.5 4.7 4.4	682.2 13.0 12.2 TeO ₃	768.5 8.5 7.9	817.9 1.8 1.7		
60	B.C A R.A. group	67.2 2.8 2.0 TeO ₄	127.7 10.6 7.5	168.9 2.4 1.7	214.1 3.9 2.8 TeO ₃	334.6 0.9 0.7 1.1	440.3 1.6	478.8 8.2 5.8	523.4 10.4 7.4	605.7 7.8 5.5	631.9 6.2 4.4	676.2 47.0 33.3	746.8 36.5 25.9 TeO ₄	809.1 2.6 1.8		TeO ₃	
70	B.C A R.A. group	67.5 2.3 1.4 TeO ₄	118.2 5.0 3.2	145.6 5.9 3.8	174.7 2.0 1.2 TeO ₃	222.8 0.3 0.2	326.0 0.6 0.4	424.1 3.0 1.9	424.3 9.7 6.2	481.1 11.0 7.0	522.9 0.6 0.4	568.8 3.9 2.5	604.9 0.6 0.4 TeO ₄	669.3 74.3 47.5	681.8 7.9 5.1	763.0 26.2 16.8 TeO ₃	813.0 3.1 2.0
	B.C A R.A.	68.1 2.4 1.6	115.1 3.1 2.0	140.4 4.8 3.2	173.8 2.1 1.4	221.2 0.6 0.4	327.7 0.8 0.5	424.2 2.8 1.9	430.2 9.2 6.1	478.6 4.6 3.0	506.2 10.7 7.1	571.1 2.0 1.3	610.2 9.5 6.3	675.3 58.6 38.8	681.2 8.8 5.8	758.5 27.4 18.1	813.9 3.8 2.5

Appendix (2)

Deconvolution parameters of the infrared spectra of the XNaF (100-X)TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics. *B.C* is the component band centre (cm⁻¹), *A* is the area of the component bands and *R.A.* is the relative area (%) of the component band.

9 9 7e0, 8 6 64 64 78 78 78 5 8 6 64 10.1 1.4 4.0 4.0 3.3.4 5 700 700 783 833 60 487 539 637 669 707 770 783 833 60 80.0 10.5 120.8 6.9 107 3.1 20.0 5.6 700 700 700 770 783 833 3.0 7.7 700	NaF (mol%)	Structural u	inits and band par	ameters						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	group TeO ₄								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		R.C.	501	605	694	604	740	701	794	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		B.C.	521 10.6	120.0	22.2	094	748	781	784	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		P 4	5.0	61 /	23.3	2.9	0.4	10.5	15.0	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5	moun	TeO-	01.4	TeO.	1.4	U.4 TeO-	TeO.	13.9	TeO-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	group	1003		1004		1003	1004		1003
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		B.C	487	539	637	669	707	770	783	833
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Α	1.1	10.5	120.8	6.9	10.7	3.1	29.0	5.6
10 group TeO3 TeO4 TeO3 TeO4 TeO3		<i>R.A</i> .	0.6	5.6	64.3	3.7	5.7	1.6	15.4	3.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	group	TeO ₃	TeO ₄		TeO ₃	TeO ₄		TeO ₃	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		BC	571	641	667	703	773	781	830	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		A.	97	84.9	3.6	26.7	0.8	26.2	1.6	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		R.A.	6.3	55.3	2.3	17.4	0.5	17.0	1.0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	20	group	TeO ₃	TeO₄	210	1711	TeO ₃	TeO ₃	TeO₄	TeO ₃
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<u> </u>	0			<u> </u>		0	•	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		B.C	576	612	637	668	714	757	795	824
R.A. 7.8 18.0 0.4 46.4 3.3 18.8 4.1 1.1 30 \overline{group} $\overline{TeO_3}$ $\overline{TeO_4}$ TeO_3		Α	13.5	30.9	0.7	79.9	5.7	32.4	7.1	1.9
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<i>R.A.</i>	7.8	18.0	0.4	46.4	3.3	18.8	4.1	1.1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30	group	TeO ₃			TeO ₄	TeO ₃		TeO ₄	TeO ₃
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		B.C	538	571	609	671	716	754	791	826
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Α	0.5	9.0	34.8	88.0	2.7	23.3	14.8	2.4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		R.A.	0.3	5.1	19.9	50.2	1.5	13.3	8.4	1.4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40	group	TeO ₃			TeO ₄	TeO ₃		TeO ₄	TeO ₃
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		BC	541	569	606	666	716	757	796	826
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		A	0.9	9.3	36.2	90.4	4.2	30.9	9.6	1.3
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		R.A.	0.5	5.1	19.8	49.4	2.3	16.9	5.2	0.7
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50	group	TeO ₃			TeO ₄	TeO ₃		TeO ₄	TeO ₃
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		R.C.	E 42	E60	605	666	717	759	707	007
$R.A.$ 0.9 5.6 19.3 48.1 2.7 17.2 5.6 0.7 60 $group$ TeO_3 TeO_3 TeO_4 TeO_3 <td></td> <td>D.C.</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>10.7</td> <td>36.6</td> <td>01 1</td> <td>51</td> <td>22.6</td> <td>10.6</td> <td>1.2</td>		D.C.	1.6	10.7	36.6	01 1	51	22.6	10.6	1.2
60 $group$ TeO_3 TeO_3 TeO_4 TeO_3 TeO_4 TeO_3 $B.C$ 552 574 608 664 741 780 809 A 2.9 11.7 39.8 83.3 32.8 13.6 5.2 $R.A.$ 1.6 6.2 21.0 44.0 17.3 7.2 2.7 $group$ TeO_3 TeO_4 TeO_3 TeO_4 TeO_3 $B.C$ 551 572 604 655 727 774 807 A 2.0 8.4 35.8 76.1 37.2 18.7 6.4 $R.A.$ 1.1 4.5 19.4 41.2 20.2 10.1 3.5		R A	0.9	56	19.3	48.1	2.7	17.2	5.6	0.7
B.C 552 574 608 664 741 780 809 A 2.9 11.7 39.8 83.3 32.8 13.6 5.2 R.A. 1.6 6.2 21.0 44.0 17.3 7.2 2.7 group TeO_3 TeO_4 TeO_3 TeO_4 TeO_3 TeO_3 B.C 551 572 604 655 727 774 807 A 2.0 8.4 35.8 76.1 37.2 18.7 6.4 R.A. 1.1 4.5 19.4 41.2 20.2 10.1 3.5	60	group	TeO ₃	5.0	19.5	TeO ₄	TeO ₃	TeO ₄	TeO ₃	0.7
B.C 552 574 608 664 741 780 809 A 2.9 11.7 39.8 83.3 32.8 13.6 5.2 R.A. 1.6 6.2 21.0 44.0 17.3 7.2 2.7 group ${\rm TeO}_3$ ${\rm TeO}_3$ ${\rm TeO}_4$ ${\rm TeO}_3$ ${\rm TeO}_3$ ${\rm TeO}_3$ B.C 551 572 604 655 727 774 807 A 2.0 8.4 35.8 76.1 37.2 18.7 6.4 R.A. 1.1 4.5 19.4 41.2 20.2 10.1 3.5							-			
A 2.9 11.7 39.8 83.3 32.8 13.6 5.2 R.A. 1.6 6.2 21.0 44.0 17.3 7.2 2.7 group TeO ₃ TeO ₄ TeO ₃ TeO ₄ TeO ₃ TeO ₃ B.C 551 572 604 655 727 774 807 A 2.0 8.4 35.8 76.1 37.2 18.7 6.4 R.A. 1.1 4.5 19.4 41.2 20.2 10.1 3.5		B.C	552	574	608	664	741	780	809	
R.A. 1.6 6.2 21.0 44.0 17.3 7.2 2.7 70 group TeO ₃ TeO ₃ TeO ₄ TeO ₃ TeO ₃ TeO ₃ B.C 551 572 604 655 727 774 807 A 2.0 8.4 35.8 76.1 37.2 18.7 6.4 R.A. 1.1 4.5 19.4 41.2 20.2 10.1 3.5		Α	2.9	11.7	39.8	83.3	32.8	13.6	5.2	
$group$ TeO_3 TeO_4 TeO_3 TeO_3 TeO_3 B.C 551 572 604 655 727 774 807 A 2.0 8.4 35.8 76.1 37.2 18.7 6.4 R.A. 1.1 4.5 19.4 41.2 20.2 10.1 3.5		<i>R.A.</i>	1.6	6.2	21.0	44.0	17.3	7.2	2.7	
B.C 551 572 604 655 727 774 807 A 2.0 8.4 35.8 76.1 37.2 18.7 6.4 R.A. 1.1 4.5 19.4 41.2 20.2 10.1 3.5	70	group	TeO ₃			TeO ₄	TeO ₃	TeO ₄	TeO ₃	
A 2.0 8.4 35.8 76.1 37.2 18.7 6.4 R.A. 1.1 4.5 19.4 41.2 20.2 10.1 3.5		B.C	551	572	604	655	727	774	807	
R.A. 1.1 4.5 19.4 41.2 20.2 10.1 3.5		Α	2.0	8.4	35.8	76.1	37.2	18.7	6.4	
		<i>R.A.</i>	1.1	4.5	19.4	41.2	20.2	10.1	3.5	

References

- Z.A.S. Mahraz, M.R. Sahar, S.K. Ghoshal, M.R. Dousti, Concentration dependent luminescence quenching of Er³⁺ doped zinc boro-tellurite glass, J. Lumin. 144 (2013) 139–145.
- [2] N. Elkhoshkhany, S.Y. Marzouk, S. Shahin, Synthesis and optical properties of new fluoro-tellurite glass within (TeO₂-ZnO-LiF-Nb₂O₅-NaF) system, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 472 (2017) 39–45.
- [3] S. Sakida, S. Hayakawa, T. Yoko, Part 2.¹²⁵Te NMR study of M₂O-TeO₂ (M = Li, Na, K, Rb and Cs) glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 243 (1999) 13–25.
- [4] M.P. Smayev, V.V. Dorofeev, A.N. Moiseev, A.G. Okhrimchuk, Femtosecond laser writing of a depressed cladding single mode channel waveguide in high-purity tellurite glass, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 480 (2018) 100–106.
- [5] Z. Hou, Z. Xue, S. Wang, Synthesis and spectroscopic properties of Er³⁺ doped CaF₂ nanocrystals in transparent oxyfluoride tellurite glass-ceramics, J. Alloys Compd. 514 (2012) 109–112.
- [6] N. Jaba, H.B. Mansour, B. Champagnon, The origin of spectral broadening of 1.53 μm emission in Er³⁺doped zinc tellurite glass, Opt. Mater. (Amst). 31 (2009) 1242–1247.
- [7] G. Upender, C.P. Vardhani, S. Suresh, A.M. Awasthi, V.C. Mouli, Structure, physical and thermal properties of WO₃-GeO₂-TeO₂ glasses, Mater. Chem. Phys. 121 (2010) 335–341.
- [8] K.M. Kaky, G. Lakshminarayana, S.O. Baki, I. V Kityk, Y.H. Taufiq-Yap, M.A. Mahdi, Structural, thermal and optical absorption features of heavy metal oxides doped tellurite rich glasses, Results Phys. 7 (2017) 166–174.
- [9] M.N. Garaga, U. Werner-Zwanziger, J.W. Zwanziger, A. DeCeanne, B. Hauke, K. Bozer, S. Feller, Short-range structure of TeO₂ glass, J. Phys. Chem. C 121 (2017)

28117-28124.

- [10] N. Elkhoshkhany, R. Essam, others, Influence of La₂O₃ on the structural, optical and thermal properties of TeO₂-ZnO-Li₂O-Nb₂O₅ glass, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 536 (2020) 119994.
- [11] L.M.S. El-Deen, M.S. Al Salhi, M.M. Elkholy, IR and UV spectral studies for rare earths-doped tellurite glasses, J. Alloys Compd. 465 (2008) 333–339.
- [12] S.S. Babu, P. Babu, C.K. Jayasankar, A.S. Joshi, A. Speghini, M. Bettinelli, Luminescence and optical absorption properties of Nd³⁺ ions in K-Mg-Al phosphate and fluorophosphate glasses, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 18 (2006) 3975.
- [13] N.S. Tagiara, D. Palles, E.D. Simandiras, V. Psycharis, A. Kyritsis, E.I. Kamitsos, Synthesis, thermal and structural properties of pure TeO₂ glass and zinc-tellurite glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 457 (2017) 116–125.
- [14] T. Sekiya, N. Mochida, A. Ohtsuka, M. Tonokawa, Normal vibrations of two polymorphic forms of TeO₂ crystals and assignments of Raman peaks of pure TeO₂ glass, J. Ceram. Soc. Japan. 97 (1989) 1435–1440.
- [15] M.R. Sahar, K. Sulhadi, M.S. Rohani, Spectroscopic studies of TeO₂-ZnO-Er₂O₃ glass system, J. Mater. Sci. 42 (2007) 824–827.
- [16] N. Mochida, K. Takahashi, K. Nakata, S. Shibusawa, Properties and structure of the binary tellurite glasses containing mono-and di-valent cations, J. Ceram. Assoc. Jpn. 86 (1978) 317–326.
- [17] N. Elkhoshkhany, M.A. Khatab, M.A. Kabary, Thermal, FTIR and UV spectral studies on tellurite glasses doped with cerium oxide, Ceram. Int. 44 (2018) 2789–2796.
- [18] A. Bachvarova-Nedelcheva, R. Iordanova, S. Ganev, Y. Dimitriev, Glass formation and structural studies of glasses in the TeO₂-ZnO-Bi₂O₃-Nb₂O₅ system, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 503 (2019) 224–231.
- [19] M. Çelikbilek, A. Erçin Ersundu, S. Aydin, Glass formation and characterization
- studies in the TeO₂-WO₃-Na₂O system, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 96 (2013) 1470–1476. [20] C. Yu, D. He, G. Wang, J. Zhang, L. Hu, Enhancement of up-conversion

luminescence properties in $Yb^{3+}/Tm^3 + /Er^{3+}$ tri-doped transparent oxyfluoride tellurite glass ceramics, Chin. Optic Lett. 8 (2010) 197–198.

- [21] Z. Pan, A. Ueda, R. Mu, S.H. Morgan, Upconversion luminescence in Er³⁺ doped germanate-oxyfluoride and tellurium-germanate-oxyfluoride transparent glassceramics, J. Lumin. 126 (2007) 251–256.
- [22] D. Tatar, M.L. Öveçouglu, G. Özen, B. Erim, Glass transition and crystallization of 0.8 TeO₂ + 0.2 CdF₂ glass, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 29 (2009) 329–335.
- [23] A. Miguel, R. Morea, J. Gonzalo, M.A. Arriandiaga, J. Fernández, R. Balda, Nearinfrared emission and upconversion in Er³⁺ doped TeO₂-ZnO-ZnF₂ glasses, J. Lumin. 140 (2013) 38–44.
- [24] H. Doweidar, G. El-Damrawi, M. Abdelghany, Structure and properties of CaF₂-B₂O₃ glasses, J. Mater. Sci. 47 (2012) 4028–4035.
- [25] H. Doweidar, G. El-Damrawi, M. Abdelghany, Structure-properties correlations in PbF₂-B₂O₃ glasses, Phys. Chem. Glas. J. Glas. Sci. Technol. Part B. 55 (2014) 121–129.
- [26] K. El-Egili, H. Doweidar, R. Ramadan, A. Altawaf, Role of F⁻ ions in the structure and properties of BaF₂-B₂O₃ glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 449 (2016) 83–93 http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2016.07.014.
- [27] H. Doweidar, K. El-Egili, R. Ramadan, E. Khalil, Structural studies and properties of CdF₂-B₂O₃ glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 481 (2018) 494–502.
- [28] I.A. Sokolov, V.N. Naraev, A.N. Nosakin, A.A. Pronkin, Influence of MeF₂ (Me = Mg, Ca, Sr, and Ba) on the electrical properties of glasses in the MeF₂-Na₂B₄O₇ system, glas, Phys. Chem. 26 (2000) 383–389.
- [29] E.F. El Agammy, H. Doweidar, K. El-Egili, R. Ramadan, Structure of PbF₂-TeO₂ glasses and glass-ceramics, Mater. Res. Technol. Accepted (2020), https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.02.028.
- [30] Y.M. Moustafa, K. El-Egili, Infrared spectra of sodium phosphate glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 240 (1998) 144–153.
- [31] Y.M. Moustafa, H. Doweidar, G. El-Damrawi, Utilisation of infrared spectroscopy to determine the fraction of the four coordinated borons in borate glasses, Phys. Chem. Glasses 35 (1994) 104–106.
- [32] S. Neov, I. Gerasimova, V. Kozhukharov, M. Marinov, The structure of glasses in the TeO₂-P₂O₅ system, J. Mater. Sci. 15 (1980) 1153–1166.
- [33] G. Liao, Q. Chen, J. Xing, H. Gebavi, D. Milanese, M. Fokine, M. Ferraris, Preparation and characterization of new fluorotellurite glasses for photonics application, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 355 (2009) 447–452.
- [34] Web elements periodic table of elements, http://www.webelements.com.
- [35] J.M. Rojo, J. Sanz, J.M. Reau, B. Tanguy, Influence of ion distribution on the ionic conductivity of lithium tellurite glasses (Li₂O-TeO₂ and LiF-TeO₂), J. Non-Cryst. Solids 116 (1990) 167–174.
- [36] S. Sakida, S. Hayakawa, T. Yoko, Part 2.¹²⁵Te NMR study of M₂O-TeO₂ (M = Li, Na, K, Rb and Cs) glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 243 (1999) 13–25.
- [37] T. Sekiya, N. Mochida, A. Ohtsuka, M. Tonokawa, Raman spectra of MO_{1/2}-TeO₂ (M = Li, Na, K, Rb, Cs and Tl) glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 144 (1992) 128–144.
- [38] J. Heo, D. Lam, G.H. Sigel Jr., E.A. Mendoza, D.A. Hensley, Spectroscopic analysis of the structure and properties of alkali tellurite glasses, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 75 (1992) 277–281.
- [39] D. Tatar, G. Özen, F.B. Erim, M.L. Öveçoğlu, Raman characterizations and structural properties of the binary TeO₂-WO₃, TeO₂-CdF₂ and ternary TeO₂-CdF₂-WO₃ glasses, J. Raman Spectrosc. 41 (2010) 797–807.
- [40] M.D. O'Donnell, C.A. Miller, D. Furniss, V.K. Tikhomirov, A.B. Seddon, Fluorotellurite glasses with improved mid-infrared transmission, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 331 (2003) 48–57.

- [41] V. Nazabal, S. Todoroki, S. Inoue, T. Matsumoto, S. Suehara, T. Hondo, T. Araki, T. Cardinal, Spectral properties of Er³⁺ doped oxyfluoride tellurite glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 326 (2003) 359–363.
- [42] H. Doweidar, K. El-Egili, G. El-Damrawi, R.M. Ramadan, Sites distribution and properties of Al₂O₃-PbO-B₂O₃ glasses, Phys. Chem. Glas. J. Glas. Sci. Technol. Part B. 49 (2008) 271–277.
- [43] H. Doweidar, Y.B. Saddeek, Effect of La₂O₃ on the structure of lead borate glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 356 (2010) 1452–1457.
- [44] P.G. Pavani, K. Sadhana, V.C. Mouli, Optical, physical and structural studies of boro-zinc tellurite glasses, Phys. B Condens. Matter 406 (2011) 1242–1247.
- [45] N. Kaur, A. Khanna, Structural characterization of borotellurite and alumino-borotellurite glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 404 (2014) 116–123.
- [46] P.G. Pavani, S. Suresh, V.C. Mouli, Studies on boro cadmium tellurite glasses, Opt. Mater. (Amst). 34 (2011) 215–220.
- [47] R.C. Lucacel, I.C. Marcus, I. Ardelean, O. Hulpus, Structural studies of copper doped 2TeO₂-PbO-Ag₂O glass by FT-IR and Raman spectroscopies, Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys. 51 (2010).
- [48] T. Sekiya, T. Sekiya, N. Mochida, A. Oshtsuka, M. Tonokawa, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 144 (1992) 128 J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 144 (1992) 128.
- [49] P. Charton, P. Armand, Glasses in the TeO₂-Sb₂O₄ binary system, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 316 (2003) 189–197.
- [50] P. Armand, P. Charton, New ternary tellurite glasses: TeO₂-WO₃-Sb₂O₄ and TeO₂-WO₃-Ga₂O₃, Phys. Chem. Glasses 43 (2002) 291–295.
- [51] T. Sekiya, N. Mochida, A. Soejima, Raman spectra of binary tellurite glasses containing tri-or tetra-valent cations, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 191 (1995) 115–123.
- [52] R.C. Lucacel, I. Ardelean, Comparative structural investigation of B₂O₃-MO-CuO glasses (MO→ TeO₂ or As₂O₃) by FTIR and Raman spectroscopies, J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. 8 (2006) 1124.
- [53] M. Tatsumisago, S.-K. Lee, T. Minami, Y. Kowada, Raman spectra of TeO₂-based glasses and glassy liquids: local structure change with temperature in relation to fragility of liquid, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 177 (1994) 154–163.
- [54] R. Akagi, K. Handa, N. Ohtori, A.C. Hannon, M. Tatsumisago, N. Umesaki, Hightemperature structure of K₂O-TeO₂ glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 256 (1999) 111–118.
- [55] Y. Himei, A. Osaka, T. Nanba, Y. Miura, Coordination change of Te atoms in binary tellurite glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 177 (1994) 164–169.
- [56] T. Komatsu, H. Tawarayama, H. Mohri, K. Matusita, Properties and crystallization behaviors of TeO₂-LiNbO₃ glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 135 (1991) 105–113.
- [57] C. Duverger, M. Bouazaoui, S. Turrell, Raman spectroscopic investigations of the effect of the doping metal on the structure of binary tellurium-oxide glasses, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 220 (1997) 169–177.
- [58] Y. Guo, M. Li, L. Hu, J. Zhang, Effect of fluorine ions on 2.7 μ m emission in Er³⁺/Nd³⁺ codoped fluorotellurite glass, J. Phys. Chem. 116 (2012) 5571–5576.
- [59] J.A. Evans, D.A. Long, The vibrational Raman spectra of some complexes formed between the tetrafluorides of Group VI elements and antimony and arsenic pentafluorides, J. Chem. Soc. A Inorganic Phys. Theor. (1968) 1688–1694.
- [60] T. Yoko, K. Kamiya, K. Tanaka, H. Yamada, S. Sakka, Glass-forming region and structure of oxyhalide tellurite glasses containing LiX (X = F and Br) and Li₂O, J. Ceram. Soc. Japan. 97 (1989) 289–294.
 [61] D.S. Yakovlev, A.P. Mirgorodskii, A.V. Tulub, B.F. Shchegolev, Nonempirical cal-
- [61] D.S. Yakovlev, A.P. Mirgorodskii, A.V. Tulub, B.F. Shchegolev, Nonempirical calculation of linear and nonlinear polarizability of TeO₂ based molecular clusters and piezoelectric properties of crystalline tellurium oxide, Optic Spectrosc. 92 (2002) 449–454.