

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Fluorine Chemistry





Thermodynamic modeling of LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃ system

Huiqin Yin^{a,1}, Peng Zhang^{a,1}, Xuehui An^{a,1}, Jinhui Cheng^{a,1}, Xiang Li^{a,b,1}, Shuang Wu^{a,b,1}, Xijun Wu^{c,1}, Wenguan Liu^{a,*,1}, Leidong Xie^{a,*,1}

^a Center for Thorium Molten Salts Reactor System, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, PR China
 ^b University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, PR China

^c University of South China, Hengyang 421001, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: KF-CrF₃ NaF-CrF₃ CALPHAD First-principles LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃ Solubility

ABSTRACT

The thermodynamic evaluation and optimizations of the KF-CrF₃ and NaF-CrF₃ systems were carried out within the framework of CALPHAD (CALculation of PHAse of Diagrams) approach. The liquid phase was described by the associated solution model, and the intermediate phase were treated as stoichiometric compound model. All the model parameters were optimized based on the experimental phase equilibria data from experimental measurements and theoretical predictions (First-principles calculation and empirical equation). A set of selfconsistent and reliable thermodynamic parameters was obtained, which can well describe the phase equilibria and thermodynamic properties of the KF-CrF₃ and NaF-CrF₃ system. Furthermore, the database for the LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃ quaternary system was preliminarily established using Muggianu extrapolation model. Meanwhile, the solubility of CrF₃ in FLiNaK at different temperatures was obtained. The calculated value is in good agreement with the reported measurements by ORNL, considering kinetic factor of K₃CrF₆ involved in FLiNaK.

1. Introduction

Molten salt reactor (MSR), where the fluorides of fissile and/or fertile (such as UF_4 , PuF_3 , ThF_4 and so on) are dissolve in a mixture of molten fluorides, is regarded as one of the six promising candidate reactors for the Generation IV Nuclear Energy System [1–3]. Unlike the conventional "solid" fuel system, MSR has incomparable advantages, such as high negative temperature coefficient of reactivity, inherent safety, very low operate pressure, refueling, processing and fission product removal online, potential unique capabilities and so on [4–6]. Due to the high temperature and very low pressure features of MSR, the reactor core tends to miniaturization and modularization. It can be widely used in nuclear electricity generation, thermochemical hydrogen production [7], oil processing and oil refineries [8], etc.

The mixture of ⁷LiF and BeF₂ (mole fraction of LiF-BeF₂ : 0.66-0.34) is a good solvent for fissile and fertile material fluorides [9]. However, FLiBe is extremely poisonous (the maximum permissible concentration of beryllium in working condition is 10^{-3} mg/m^3) [10]. FLiNaK (mole fraction of LiF-NaF-KF: 0.465-0.115-0.42) which has the similar thermo-physical properties as FLiBe [11–13] shown in Table 1, is used as the model of FLiBe during the test stage. It can be learned that the element Cr of nickel-based alloys are corroded easily by fluoride salt compared with other metal elements at high temperature [14–16]. The

complex ions of Cr can be formed which cannot be readily removed due to the intrinsic stability. CrF_3 is the major existing form of chromic fluoride in FLiNaK [11,17]. Although the solubility of CrF_3 in FLiNaK is very important for determining the equilibrium between FLiNaK and nickel-based alloys, there are few related experimental data. The CALPHAD method which is viable in fast obtaining phase equilibria in multicomponent system, is employed to study the solubility and multivariate distribution forms of Cr in FLiNaK melts.

In order to obtain the phase equilibrium and thermodynamic properties of LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃, thermodynamic descriptions of each binary subsystem is essential. Thus, this work will give the thermodynamic modeling of the KF-CrF₃ and NaF-CrF₃ binary systems based upon the CALPHAD approach. Within the framework of CALPHAD method, the model parameters are usually optimized in terms of the required phase equilibria and thermochemical information. If lacks of the pertinent measurement, the data from theoretical prediction are sometimes considered for the parameter optimization, such as the first principles calculation is adopted for the prediction of the formation enthalpies of the intermediate compounds KCrF₄, NaCrF₄, Na₅Cr₃F₁₄ and Na₃CrF₆. Finally, the acquired thermodynamic parameters of these two binary system along with the other subsystems [18–20] are employed to extrapolate into the quaternary LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃ system for predicting the solubility of CrF₃ and existent forms in FLiNaK melts.

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfluchem.2018.02.005 Received 18 October 2017: Received in revised for

Received 18 October 2017; Received in revised form 5 February 2018; Accepted 6 February 2018 Available online 07 February 2018 0022-1139/ © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: liuwenguan@sinap.ac.cn (W. Liu), xieleidong@sinap.ac.cn (L. Xie).

¹ Present address: Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences; Jialuo Road 2019, Jiading District, Shanghai 201800, PR China.

Properties of molten FLiNaK salt and FLiBe at 700 °C [13].

е

2. Data evaluation and prediction

Thermodynamic description of a certain system based on the CALPHAD approach requires the systematical analysis, evaluation and selection of the experimental data from the literature. When experimental measurements are scarce, theoretical predictions are sometimes needed prior to the thermodynamic optimization.

2.1. Review of literature information

There are many thermodynamic assessments related to the three systems (LiF-NaF, LiF-KF and NaF-KF) and a very exhaustive review has been processed by Wang [18] in 2013, hence it is not repeated in this work. The results reported by Wang for the LiF-NaF, LiF-KF and NaF-KF binary systems are mainly adopted in our work.

The experimental information concerning phase equilibria and thermochemical data of MF-CrF₃ (M = Li, Na and K) are very limited. Only Kozak et al measured their phase equilibria information in 1969 and 1975, respectively. Recently, thermodynamic assessment of LiF-CrF₃ and KF-CrF₃ have been done in our previous work [19,20] using the associated solution model in liquid phase. Thermodynamic parameters of LiF-CrF₃ from our previous work are adopted in the present work. Here, KF-CrF₃ was reevaluated using a different stoichiometric compounds in liquid phase, compared with our previous work [20]. The literature evaluation of LiF-CrF₃ and KF-CrF₃ has been summarized in refs. [19,20]. Until now, the NaF-CrF₃ system has not been described thermodynamically. The detailed literature evaluation of NaF-CrF₃ system is shown in the following part.

There are two groups of researchers who have measured the experimental phase equilibria of NaF-CrF₃ binary system. In 1969, Kozak et al. [21] firstly determined the phase relations of this system through differential thermal analysis. Again, this binary system was measured by Kozak and Samouel in 1975 [22] aiming at establishing the phase equilibria of the ternary NaF-ZnF₂-CrF₃ system. However, some disagreements existed in the two reported phase relations of this binary system, where Na₅Cr₃F₁₄ is congruent melting in Ref. [22] and incongruently melting in Ref. [21]. The later measured results are regarded to be more reliable, which are thus adopted for optimization. This system includes three intermediate compounds, namely Na₅Cr₃F₁₄, Na₃CrF₆ and NaCrF₄, which were identified by means of X-ray diffraction (XRD). The whole phase diagram is thus divided into various phase regions featured by one peritectic reactions $(CrF_3 + liquid \stackrel{Per}{T=1240.15K} Na_2CrF_4)$ and three eutectic reactions $(liquid \stackrel{Eut}{T=113.35K} NaCrF_4 + Na_5Cr_3F_{14}, liquid \stackrel{Eut}{T=1143.71K} Na_3CrF_6 + Na_5Cr_3F_{14}$ and $liquid \stackrel{Eut}{T=1162.81K} Na_3CrF_6 + NaF)$.

2.2. First-principles methodologies

First-principles calculation, based on density functional theory (DFT) can reduce the uncertainties in model parameters of thermodynamic databases, can complement thermodynamic parameter optimization by providing some needed thermochemical data of individual phases with the dearth of relative experimental data [23].

Up to now, the thermochemical information is unavailable on the

intermediate compounds of the MF-CrF₃ (M = Na and K) binary system. First-principles calculation is used to predict their formation enthalpies. The following Eq. (1) will show how to obtain the formation enthalpies of the compounds.

Single point energy of intermediate compounds in the MF-CrF₃ (M = Li, Na and K) system

Single point energy

-9.7108 eV

-25.6115 eV

-25.6019 eV

-55 1735 eV

-8.4342 eV

-8.2271 eV

-34.6875 eV

-8.7538 eV

- 34 7474 eV

-122.0770 eV

-52.7634 eV

Table 2

LiF

CrF₂

CrF₃

KF

KF

KCrF₄

NaCrF₄

Na₃CrF₆

Na₅Cr₃F₁₄

NaF

Li₂CrF₆

Compound

from First-principles calculation.

Space group

 $Fm\overline{3}m$

R3cr

R<u>3</u>ch

C12/C1

 $Fm\overline{3}m$

 $Pm\overline{3}m$

Pnma

Fm<u>3</u>m

P21/C

P21/N

P21/C

$$\Delta_f H_{(MF)_x(CrF_3)_y} = E_{tot}((MF)_x(CrF_3)_y) - xE_{tot}(MF) - yE_{tot}(CrF_3)$$
(1)

For the above equations, E_{tot} is the single point energy of MF, CrF_3 and $(MF)_x(CrF_3)_y$ at 0 K listed in Table 2. In fact, CrF_3 has two allotropic forms cited from the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD). Here, the more stable form $R\overline{3}$ crfor CrF_3 is selected to predict the formation enthalpy. The formation enthalpies of intermediate compounds (NaCrF₄, Na₅Cr₃F₁₄ and Na₃CrF₆) in the NaF-CrF₃ binary system are obtained by the following equations. However, only KCrF₄ of the KF-CrF₃ binary system could be calculated by First-principles methodologies (Due to the lack of relative crystal structure information and lattice parameters of K₂Cr₅F₁₇ and K₂CrF₅, First-principles calculation failed to be performed on K₂Cr₅F₁₇ and K₂CrF₅. What's more, although the crystal structure of K₃CrF₆ can be known, single point energy of K₃CrF₆ is not be determined, where the site of F atom is not kept fixed at their static lattice positions [24]).

$$\Delta_f H_{NaCrF_4} = E_{tot}(NaCrF_4) - E_{tot}(NaF) - E_{tot}(CrF_3)$$
⁽²⁾

$$\Delta_f H_{Na_5Cr_3F_{14}} = E_{tot}(Na_5Cr_3F_{14}) - 5E_{tot}(NaF) - 3E_{tot}(CrF_3)$$
(3)

$$\Delta_f H_{Na_3CrF_6} = E_{tot}(Na_3CrF_6) - 3E_{tot}(NaF) - E_{tot}(CrF_3)$$
(4)

The total energies of the compounds in the MF-CrF₃ system were calculated using Vienna ab ignition Simulation Package (VASP) [25] based on spin-polarized density function theory (DFT) and generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [26] employed. We used Projector Augmented Wave pseudopotentials (PAW) [27] with the constant energy cutoff of 450 eV for all the calculations to ensure the more accurate results. Extensive tests of k-point calculation indicated that all the energy differences were converged to within 1 meV/atom. Eventually, homologous k-point space mesh can be obtained by means of Monkhorst-Pack scheme with self-consistent iteration convergence threshold of 10^{-4} eV, and geometry optimization convergence criteria for all the atomic positions optimized around each atom force was less than 0.02 eV/Å. To validate the rationalization of calculated parameters, the comparisons between before and after structure optimization of cell parameters are shown in Table 3. As seen in Table 3, the relative deviations of all the unit cell lattice constants in NaF-CrF₃ before and after the lattice relaxation are less than 2.1%, and the deviation of the largest volumes are less than 3.1%. Although the first principles calculation is at 0 K, the calculated parameters are reasonable in terms of experimental errors introduced in this paper and the enthalpy of formation independent of temperature.

Resource

[19]

[20]

[20]

[19]

[20]

[20]

[20]

This work

This work

This work

This work

Comparison of calculated with experimental lattice constants of all compounds included in NaF-CrF3 and KF-CrF3 systems (experimental data in Parentheses).

Compound	Sapce group	Lattice parameters (Å)			Volume (Å ³ cell ⁻¹)	Resource
		a	b	c		
KF	Fm3m	5.4470(5.3670)	5.4470(5.3670)	5.447(5.3670)	161.6570(154.595)	[20]
KF	Pm3m	3.2660(3.0600)	3.2660(3.0600)	3.2660(3.0600)	34.8550(28.6530)	[20]
KCrF ₄	Pnma	15.9680(15.73-	7.5440(7.4330)	18.6060(18.33-	2241.4640(2143.5-	[20]
		20)		10)	500)	
CrF ₃	R3cr	5.3170(5.2640)	5.3170(5.2640)	5.3170(5.2640)	97.8440(94.9660)	[20]
CrF ₃	R3ch	5.3880(5.2060)	5.3880(5.2060)	5.3880(5.2060)	97.0430(87.5270)	[20]
NaF	Fm3m	3.2716(3.2880)	3.2716(3.2880)	3.2716(3.2880)	24.7610(25.1362)	This
						work
NaCrF ₄	P21/C	7.9013(7.8620)	5.3546(5.3280)	7.4430(7.4060)	308.4174(303.837-	This
					0)	work
Na ₅ Cr ₃ F ₁₄	P21/N	10.6147(10.50-	7.2975(7.2253)	7.3440(7.2713)	568.8374(552.108-	This
		96)			0)	work
Na ₃ CrF ₆	P21/C	5.5187(5.4913)	5.7306(5.7021)	9.7101(9.6618)	251.4889(247.754-	This
					0)	work



Fig. 1. The mixing enthalpy of the binary $\mbox{KF-Cr}\mbox{F}_3$ liquid evaluated from the empirical method.

2.3. Empirical prediction for the mixing enthalpy in melts

There are no experimental data for the mixing enthalpy in liquid phase of MF-CrF₃ (M = Na and K) binary systems. Here, an empirical method was applied to estimate them. This method is to build the relation between the mixing enthalpy of binary system and the ionic parameters. As shown in the previous work [28–30], the mixing enthalpy of MF-CrF₃ (M = Na and K) binary system can also be calculated by the following equation,

$$\Delta H_{mix} = f(\delta_{12}) \tag{5}$$

$$\delta_{12} = [(\gamma_M^+ + \gamma_F^-) - (\gamma_C^{3+} + \gamma_F^-)]/[(\gamma_M^+ + \gamma_F^-)(\gamma_{Cr}^{3+} + \gamma_F^-)] = [\gamma_M^+ - \gamma_{Cr}^{3+}]/[(\gamma_M^+ + \gamma_F^-)(\gamma_{Cr}^{3+} + \gamma_F^-)]$$
(6)

 γ_M^+ is the ionic radius of *M*, whose value is referred to an octahedral geometry from the compilation of Shannon [31]. The available cations N³⁺ in the present case depend on whether or not there exist enough

-20000 -20000 -36497.7Jmol⁻¹ -30000 -36497.7Jmol⁻¹ Al

Fig. 2. The mixing enthalpy of the binary $NaF-CrF_3$ liquid evaluated from the empirical method.

measurements for the mixing enthalpy of the MF-NF₃ (M = Na and K) binary system. The experimental mixing enthalpies of the MF-AlF₃, MF-LaF₃, MF-YF₃, MF-NdF₃, MF-YbF₃ (M = Na and K) [32-34] binary systems were reported, and the datum near the mole fraction of 0.5 mol MF-0.5 mol NF $_3$ (M = Na and K, N = Al, La, Y, Nd and Yb) were chosen to predict the analytic expression for Eq. (5) after carefully searching the literature. Table 4 lists the ionic radius of all the ions and their values of the parameter δ_{12} . Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 reveals the dependence of the mixing enthalpies of various binary systems on their parameters δ_{12} , which clearly shows a linear relationship. It should be noted that the mole fraction of 0.5 mol NF3 was chosen since it corresponds to the approximate composition, where the mixing enthalpy of the liquid in the MF-NF₃ (M = Na and K) binary system is most negative displayed in the reference [32-34]. The mixing enthalpy of 0.5 mol KF-0.5 mol CrF₃ and 0.5mol NaF-0.5mol CrF₃ at 1200 K were estimated -51633.5 Jmol⁻¹ and -36497.7 Jmol⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).

Table 4 The radius of various ionic and calculated δ_{12} for MF-CrF_3 (M = Na and K) binary melts.

ions	La ³⁺	Nd ³⁺	Y ³⁺	Yb ³⁺	Al ³⁺	Cr ³⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	\mathbf{F}^{-}
Radius(Å) $\delta_{12}(nm^{-1})$ - Na ⁺ $\delta_{12}(nm^{-1})$ - K ⁺	1.032 - 0.02162 0.54366	0.983 0.06807 0.63335	0.900 0.22899 0.79427	0.868 0.29427 0.85955	0.535 1.10661 1.67189	0.615 0.88607 1.45135	1.020	1.380	1.330

The lattice stability parameters of $MF-CrF_3$ (M = Na and K) system.

Compounds	Gibbs Energy/J	Temp./K	Ref.
NaF(Halite)	$-592757.502 + 307.110402T - 53.29914Tln(T) + 3.451047 \times 10^{\cdot 3}T^{2} - 2.26795167 \times 10^{\cdot 6}T^{3} + 248,839.2T^{\cdot 1} - 594935.992 + 393.386907T - 64.62899Tln(T) + 2.769787 \times 10-4T^{2} \cdot 1.64256167 \times 10-8T^{3} \cdot 4895866T^{-1}$	298–1269 1269–3500	[34] [34]
NaF(Liquid)	$-559411.002 + 280.8326242T \cdot 53.29914T ln(T) + 3.451047 \times 10 \cdot 3T^2 - 2.26795167 \times 10 \cdot 6T^3 + 248,839.2T^{-1} - 561589.492 + 367.1091292T - 64.62899T ln(T) + 2.769787 \times 10 \cdot 4T^2 - 1.64256167 \times 10 \cdot 8T^3 - 4895866T^{-1}$	298–1269 1269–3500	[34] [34]
KF (Halite)	$\begin{array}{l} -583869.251 + 257.421863T - 47.79132Tln(T) & -0.0046140735T^2 - 6.56616 \times 10^{7}T^3 + 84,928.5T^{-1} \\ -566044.319 + 135.998567T\cdot32.01124Tln(T) & -0.005759505T^2 - 1.993335 \times 10^{-6}T^3 - 2839890T^{-1} \\ -603118.731 + 438.402642T - 71.9648Tln(T) \end{array}$	298–900 900–1131 1131-3000	[34] [34] [34]
KF (Liquid)	$\begin{array}{l} -556673.251 + 233.375886T - 47.79132Tln(T) & -0.0046140735T^2 - 6.56616 \times 10^{7}T^3 + 84,928.5T^{-1} \\ -538848.319 + 111.95259T - 32.01124Tln(T) & -0.005759505T^2 - 1.993335 \times 10^{-6}T^3 - 2839890T^1 \\ -575922.731 + 414.356665T - 71.9648Tln(T) \end{array}$	298–900 900-1131 1131-3000	[34] [34] [34]
CrF ₃ (Halite)	-1187016.902525566 + 430.727124515671T - 75.9002712554932Tln(T)	298-1100	[33]
	- 0.02017360234926391 + 0.9137360036073E - 71 + 352,102.289604741 - 1331013.90106899 + 1535.13049498254T - 227.117506286621Tln(T) + 0.0437786975402832T2 - 3.39209290822347E-6T3 + 24,686,955.0628662T(-1)	1100–1698	[33]
CrF3 (Liquid)	-1164040.39856127 + 787.630622067394T - 129.999999038696Tln(T)	298-2500	[33]

3. Thermodynamic models

3.1. Lattice stabilities

The stable phase of MF (M = Na and K) is $Fm\overline{3}m$ symmetry, of which melting points are 1130 K (NaF) and 1269 K (KF). $R\overline{3}cr$ is the stable phase of CrF₃ whose melting point is 1698 K. Their Gibbs energy functions are described as the following Eq. (7), and the pertinent coefficients of CrF₃ and MF (M = Na and K) are listed in Table 5 cited from literature [35] and [36], respectively.

$${}^{o}G_{i}^{\phi}(T) = G_{i}^{\phi}(T) - H^{SER} = a + bT + cT\ln(T) + dT^{2} + eT^{3} + \frac{f}{T} + \dots$$
(7)

Where the Gibbs energy function of all phases are referred to the mole enthalpy of pure elements in their stable state at 298.15 K, namely H^{SER} [37], a, b, c, d, e and f are coefficients, T stands for the absolute temperature (K).

3.2. Thermodynamic modeling for intermediate compounds

There are seven intermediate compounds $(MF)_x(CrF_3)_y$ (M = Na and K) in MF-CrF₃ (M = Na and K) system. They are treated as the stoichiometric compounds due to no evidence of solid solution in these phases. And the Gibbs energy of the intermediate compounds phase are expressed in the following equation.

$$G_{(MF)_{x}(CrF_{3})_{y}}^{solid} = xG_{MF}^{o} + yG_{CrF_{3}}^{o} + \Delta_{r}H_{(MF)_{x}(CrF_{3})_{y}}^{o} - T\Delta_{r}S_{(MF)_{x}(CrF_{3})_{y}}^{o}$$
(8)

Where $G^o_{CrF_3}$ and G^o_{MF} are Gibbs energy of pure species CrF_3 and MF. $\Delta_r H^o_{(MF)_x(CrF_3)_y}$ and $\Delta_r S^o_{(MF)_x(CrF_3)_y}$ represent enthalpy and entropy of formation of the corresponding intermediate compounds $(MF)_x(CrF_3)_y$, respectively. As described in Section 2.2, $\Delta_f H^o_{(MF)_x(CrF_3)_y}$ can be obtained from First-principle calculation which is taken as the initial value for the subsequent optimization along with the experimental data.

3.3. Thermodynamic modeling for liquid phase

As seen from the experimental phase diagram of the $MF-CrF_3$ (M = Na and K), the liquidus are extremely steep. According to the thermodynamic principle, the chemical species with short-range ordering, which cannot be well depicted by the simple substitutional solution model, probably exist in the liquid phase. The associated solution model with specific chemical species can reasonably explain the short-range ordering in the liquid phase. Moreover, the associated solution model has successfully described of the binary systems of LiF-CrF₃ in our previous work [19]. Thus, the KF-CrF₃ and NaF-CrF₃ will be described by the same solution model. K₃CrF₆ (different from previous assessment where K₃CrF₆ and KCrF₄ was as the associate species of KF-CrF₃ binary system in liquid phase) and Na₃CrF₆, which are the stable species of Cr³⁺ in FLiNaK studied by Peng et al [38], are defined the complex species in the liquid phase as well as our previous work [19].

The Gibbs energy of liquid phase is expressed in the following Eq. (9).

$$G_{MF-CrF_{3}}^{liq} = x_{MF}G_{MF}^{liq} + x_{CrF_{3}}G_{CrF_{3}}^{liq} + x_{M_{3}CrF_{6}}G_{M_{3}CrF_{6}}^{liq} + RT$$

$$(x_{MF}\ln x_{MF} + x_{CrF_{3}}\ln x_{CrF_{3}} + x_{M_{3}CrF_{6}}\ln x_{M_{3}CrF_{6}}) + \Delta^{E}G_{ass}^{liq}$$
(M= Na and K)
$$(29)$$

Where x_{MF} , x_{CrF_3} and $x_{M_3CrF_6}$ stand for the mole fraction of corresponding compounds, G_{MF}^{liq} , $G_{CrF_3}^{liq}$ and $G_{M_3CrF_6}^{liq}$ refer to the Gibbs energy of pure relevant species in the liquid phase. $G_{M_3CrF_6}^{liq}$ is given in the following Eq. (10).

Table 6
Thermodynamic information and optimization status of MF - CrF_3 (M = Na and K) system

Binary Compound Thermodynamic model			odel	Resource
system		Intermediate compound ((MF) _x (CrF ₃) _y)	Species distribution in liquid phase ((MF) _x (CrF ₃) _y)	
KF-CrF3	KF CrF3 K2Cr5F17 KCrF4 K2CrF5 K3CrF6	(KF)2(CrF3)5 (KF)1(CrF3)1 (KF)2(CrF3)1 (KF)3(CrF3)1	Associated solution model (KF, CrF3, KCrF4, K3CrF6)	[20]
KF-CrF3	KF CrF3 K2Cr5F17 KCrF4 K2CrF5 K3CrF6	(KF)2(CrF3)5 (KF)1(CrF3)1 (KF)2(CrF3)1 (KF)3(CrF3)1	Associated solution model (KF, CrF3, K3CrF6)	This work
NaF-CrF3	NaF CrF3 NaCrF4 Na5Cr3F14 Na3CrF6	(NaF)1(CrF3)1 (NaF)5(CrF3)3 (NaF)3(CrF3)1	Associated solution model (NaF, CrF3, Na3CrF6)	This work

$$G_{M_3CrF_6}^{liq} = 3G_{MaF}^{liq} + G_{CrF_3}^{liq} + \Delta H_{M_3CrF_6}^{liq} - T\Delta S_{M_3CrF_6}^{liq} \quad (M=\text{ Na and K})$$
(10)

Where $\Delta H_{M_3CrF_6}^{liq}$ and $\Delta S_{M_3CrF_6}^{liq}$ are the enthalpy and entropy of formation of M₃CrF₆. $\Delta^E G_{ass}^{liq}$ is the excess Gibbs energy defined by the following Eq. (11) cited from Redlich-Kister polynomial [39].

$$\Delta^{E} G_{ass}^{uq} = x_{CrF_3} x_{M_3CrF_6}^{\upsilon} L_{x_{CrF_3}, x_{M_3CrF_6}}^{uq} (x_{CrF_3} - x_{M_3CrF_6})^{\upsilon} \quad (M = Na \text{ and } K)$$

+ $x_{M_3CrF_6} x_{MF}^{\upsilon} L_{x_{M_3CrF_6}, x_{MF}}^{uq} (x_{M_3CrF_6} - x_{MF})^{\upsilon}$
(11)

Where ${}^{v}L_{x_{CrF_3},x_{M_3CrF_6}}^{liq}$ and ${}^{v}L_{x_{M_3CrF_6},x_{MF}}^{liq}$ are the binary interaction parameters for the corresponding species which depend on temperature in the form ${}^{v}L^{liq} = a_v + b_v T$. And the detailed optimized status of subbinary system MF-CrF₃ (M = Li, Na and K) are listed in Table 6.

4. Results and discussion

The parameter optimization was performed in the Pan Optimizer module of CALPHAD software PANDAT using the least squares method. The formation enthalpies of KCrF₄ (-61.823 kJ/mol), NaCrF₄ (-36.796 kJ/mol), Na₅Cr₃F₁₄ (-141.902 kJ/mol) and α -Na₃CrF₆ (-85.758 kJ/mol) from First-principles calculation, which were as the initial value of thermodynamic modeling, were input to optimize the relevant phase diagrams. Then, the optimization was conducted in a step by step approach and repeated until an excellent agreement with most experimental data points was reached, within the allowable range of experimental errors. After obtaining the thermodynamic database for different phases in the system, phase diagrams were calculated and plotted accordingly in the PanPhaseDiagram module of the same software.

4.1. Binary system MF- CrF_3 (M = K and Na)

The final thermodynamic parameters, which describe the Gibbs energies of all phases in the KF- CrF_3 and NaF- CrF_3 systems, are listed in Table 7. Based upon these parameters, all the calculated phase

Table 7

Thermodynamic parameters optimized in the present work for the $\rm KF\text{-}CrF_3$ and $\rm NaF\text{-}CrF_3$ system.

KF-CrF ₃ system	Resource
${}^{Liq}G_{K_3CrF_6} = 3G_{KF}^{Liq} + G_{CrF_3}^{Liq} \cdot 77377.7 + 14.5T$	This work
${}^{0}L_{CrF_{3},K_{3}CrF_{6}}^{Liq} = -353517 + 25.8T$	This work
${}^{1}L_{CrF_{3},K_{3}CrF_{6}}^{Liq} = 40503.2 + 25.0T$	This work
${}^{0}L_{K_3CrF_6,KF}^{Liq} = -185213 + 24.3T$	This work
${}^{1}L_{K3CrF_{6},KF}^{Liq} = 39995.9 + 23.3T$	This work
${}^{o}G_{\rm K_2CrF_6} = 3{}^{o}G_{\rm KF} + {}^{o}G_{\rm CrF_3} - 13354 - 24.5T$	This work
${}^{o}G_{K_{2}CrF_{6}} = 2^{o}G_{KF} + {}^{o}G_{CrF_{3}} - 103168 - 14.2T$	This work
${}^{o}G_{\rm KCrF4} = {}^{o}G_{\rm KF} + {}^{o}G_{\rm CrF3} - 64912.1 - 9.0T$	This work
${}^{o}G_{K_{2}Cr_{5}F17} = 2^{o}G_{KF} + 5^{o}G_{CrF_{3}} - 148804 - 20.1T$	This work
${}^{Liq}G_{\beta\text{-Na}_3CrF_6} = 3G_{\text{Na}F}^{Liq} + G_{CrF_3}^{Liq}\text{-}138966 + 14.0T$	This work
${}^{0}L_{CrF_{3},\beta-\operatorname{Na}_{3}CrF_{6}}^{Liq} = -139140 + 25.0T$	This work
${}^{1}L_{CrF_{3},\beta-Na_{3}CrF_{6}}^{Liq} = -50488.4 + 21.1T$	This work
${}^{0}L^{Liq}_{\beta\cdot Na3}CrF_{6}NaF} = -50200 + 24.7T$	This work
${}^{1}L^{Liq}_{\beta-\mathrm{Na}_{3}\mathrm{CrF}_{6},\mathrm{NaF}} = -28848.6 + 25.0T$	This work
${}^{o}G_{\beta-Na_{3}CrF_{6}} = 3^{o}G_{NaF} + {}^{o}G_{CrF_{3}} - 96000 - 25T$	This work
${}^{o}G_{\alpha-\text{Na}_3\text{CrF}_6} = 3{}^{o}G_{\text{NaF}} + {}^{o}G_{\text{CrF}_3} - 98373.8 - 22.4T$	This work
${}^{0}G_{\text{Na5Cr3F14}} = 5{}^{0}G_{\text{KF}} + 3{}^{0}G_{\text{CrF3}} - 197000 - 30.0T$	This work
${}^{o}G_{NaCrF_{4}} = {}^{o}G_{NaF} + {}^{o}G_{CrF_{3}}$ -39990-9.6 <i>T</i>	This work



Fig. 3. Calculated phase diagram of KF-CrF3 along with experiment data.

equilibria and thermodynamic properties together with the related experimental points for the $KF-CrF_3$ and $NaF-CrF_3$ systems have been discussed in the following context.

The calculated KF-CrF₃ phase diagram, which covers three eutectic

Table 8

Comparison with calculated values and experimental data of key points in $\mbox{KF-CrF}_3$ system.

Reaction	Experimental	l		
	KF/mol%	T/K	KF/mol%	T/K
$K_3CrF_6 \leftrightarrow Liquid$ $K_3CrF_6 + KF \leftrightarrow Liquid$ $K_2Cr_5F_{17} \leftrightarrow Liquid + CrF_3$ $K_2Cr_5F_{17} + KCrF_4 \leftrightarrow Liquid$ $K_2CrF_5 + KCrF_4 \leftrightarrow Liquid$ $K_2CrF_5 \leftrightarrow K_3CrF_6 + Liquid$	0.750 0.957 0.413 0.500 0.554 0.582	1548.15 1112.04 1388.15 1198.15 1109.26 1131.48	0.750 0.955 0.410 0.496 0.574 0.583	1547.88 1113.30 1387.90 1194.94 1107.09 1134.55



Fig. 4. Calculated phase diagram of NaF-CrF₃ phase diagram along with experiment data.

Table 9

Comparison with calculated values and experimental data of key points in $\mathrm{NaF}\text{-}\mathrm{CrF}_3$ system.

Reaction	Experimental data		Calculated data	
	NaF/mol%	T/K	NaF/mol%	T/K
$Na_3CrF_6 \leftrightarrow liquid$	0.750	1420.15	0.750	1415.58
liquid ↔ Na_3CrF_6+NaF	0.877	1162.81	0.886	1162.37
liquid ↔ Na ₃ CrF ₆ +Na ₅ Cr ₃ F ₁₄	0.633	1143.71	0.626	1142.26
liquid ↔ Na ₅ Cr ₃ F ₁₄	0.625	1144.85	0.625	1142.27
liquid ↔ NaCrF4 + Na5Cr3F14	0.615	1133.53	0.617	1141.50
$liquid{+}CrF_3 \leftrightarrow NaCrF_4$	0.546	1239.00	0.548	1237.37

The formation enthalpy of KCrF4, Na₅Cr₃F₁₄ and Na₃CrF₆ between First-principles calculation and CALphad method.

Method	$\Delta_f H_{KCrF4}^{Solid}$ (kJ/mol)	$\Delta_{f} H_{NaCrF4}^{Solid}$ (kJ/mol)	$\Delta_f H_{Na_5Cr_3F_14}^{Solid}$ (kJ/mol)	$\Delta_{f} H^{Solid}_{\alpha-Na_{3}CrF_{6}}(\text{kJ/mol})$
First- principles	- 61.823	- 36.796	- 141.902	- 85.758
CALPhad	- 64.912	- 39.990	- 197.000	- 96.000
Relative deviation	- 4.99%	- 8.68%	- 38.8%	- 11.9%



Fig. 5. Calculated mixing enthalpy in liquid phase of KF-CrF_3 and NaF-CrF_3 system (1500 °C).



Fig. 6. The predicted liquidus projection of the LiF-KF-CrF₃ system.

and two peritectic reactions, is displayed in Fig. 3 along with the experimental phase equilibria data of Kozak et al. [21]. Table 8 shows the detailed comparison between the calculated and key experimental points. It is clearly manifested from Fig. 3 and Table 8 that the present calculation can well and consistently describe the reported experimental phase equilibria of KF-CrF₃ system.

Fig. 4 shows the present thermodynamic calculation of the NaF-CrF₃ system along with experiment data [22], which appears three intermediate compounds, namely NaCrF₄, Na₅Cr₃F₁₄ and Na₃CrF₆. The NaCrF₄ incongruently melted at the transformation temperature. The latter ones are stable from room temperature to their congruently melting temperature. The enlarged detail of Na₅Cr₃F₁₄ congruently melting part, which contains two eutectic points and one congruent melting point, is shown on the left of phase diagram as seen in Fig. 4. What's more, there is clearly a solid state phase change of Na₃CrF₆($\alpha - Na_3CrF_6 \rightleftharpoons \beta - Na_3CrF_6$) at 640 °C. It can be seen that the calculated results are in excellent agreement with the experimental data points [22], especially the invariant key points. The detail



Fig. 7. The predicted liquidus projection of the KF-NaF-CrF₃ system.

comparisons between experimental data and calculated results are listed in Table 9.

It can also be manifested from the formation enthalpy of KCrF₄, NaCrF₄, Na₅Cr₃F₁₄ and Na₃CrF₆ listed in Table 10 that good consistency exists between First-principles calculation and CALPHAD method, except for the formation enthalpy of Na₅Cr₃F₁₄. Although there is a larger deviation of formation enthalpy of Na₅Cr₃F₁₄ from two different methods, it should be reasonable considering the First-principles calculation at 0 K within the range of allowable errors.

Fig. 5 shows the calculated mixing enthalpy in the binary $MF-CrF_3$ (M = Na and K) systems and the predicted values from the empirical method, respectively. Both of the two curves with V-type characterize the liquid phase with short-range ordering structures. Meanwhile, the calculated mixing enthalpy at the 0.5 molMF-0.5 mol CrF_3 agree well with the estimated values from the empirical method considering the errors.

According to all the figures-tables and related discussions mentioned above, it is suggested that the associated solution model is successfully applied to the KF-CrF₃ and NaF-CrF₃ binary systems, where the short range ordering is probably existed in liquid phase. It can be seen that the present thermodynamic parameters are reliable and can well describe the phase equilibrium information of these two systems.

4.2. Ternary systems LiF-NaF-CrF₃, LiF-KF-CrF₃ and NaF-KF-CrF₃

A series of thermodynamic prediction about thermodynamic properties and phase equilibria of sub-ternary systems were obtained by extrapolation of the relevant binary thermodynamic databases. Figs. 6–8 show the calculated liquidus projections of the LiF-NaF-CrF₃, LiF-KF-CrF₃ and NaF-KF-CrF₃. The calculated main invariant points in these ternary systems are listed in Table 11.

4.3. Prediction of CrF₃ solubility in FLiNaK molten salt

A set of self-consistent thermodynamic database was derived based on all the binary model parameters (LiF-NaF, LiF-KF, NaF-KF, LiF-CrF₃,



Fig. 8. The predicted liquidus projection of the LiF-NaF-CrF₃ system.



Fig. 9. The solubility of $\rm CrF_3$ in FLiNaK when the system is under thermodynamic equilibrium.

NaF-CrF₃ and KF-CrF₃) in order to perform a serial of thermodynamic predictions on the solubility and existence forms of CrF₃ in FLiNaK molten salt. Fig. 9 shows the solubility of CrF₃ in FLiNaK, when the system is under thermodynamic equilibrium. It can be observed that the solubility of CrF₃ in FLiNaK is very low, and is about 0.075 wt.% at 800 °C under thermodynamic equilibrium state.

Fig. 10 shows the solubility of CrF_3 in FLiNaK, when K_3CrF_6 is negligible in LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃ system. As observed from Fig. 10, the solubility of CrF_3 in FLiNaK increases dramatically. The solubility of CrF_3 in FLiNaK is about 0.3 wt.% at 600 °C, which is consistent with the reported value reported by ORNL



Fig. 10. The solubility of CrF₃ in FLiNaK when K₃CrF₆ is negligible.



Fig. 11. The species distribution of LiF-NaF-KF-CrF $_3$ quaternary system in liquid phase (1500 °C).

 $((\frac{0.20+0.19+0.23}{3})/(Cr)wt. \% \approx 0.43wt. \%)$ at 600 °C [40]). It can be suggested that kinetics of K₃CrF₆ is very low to prevent it from separating out. This phenomenon can be explained qualitatively from its drive force (DF). DF is shown in the following Eqs. (12) and (13)

$$\Delta G^{DF} = G^{liq}_{K_3CrF_6} - G^{sol}_{K_3CrF_6} \tag{12}$$

$$G_{K_3CrF_6}^{uq} = {}^o G_{K_3CrF_6}^{uq} + RT \ln(r_{K_3CrF_6} y_{K_3CrF_6})$$
(13)

Where $G_{k_3CrF_6}^{liq}$ and $G_{k_3CrF_6}^{sol}$ are the Gibbs free energy function in liquid and solid phase, respectively. And $\Delta G^{\rm DF}$ is the drive force function of K₃CrF₆ from liquid phase to solid phase. $G_{k_3CrF_6}^{liq}$, $r_{k_3CrF_6}$ and $y_{k_3CrF_6}$ refer to the Gibbs free energy function, activity coefficient and relative amount of K₃CrF₆ in liquid phase.

It can be seen from above equations that the drive force of K_3CrF_6 in liquid phase is bound up with the relative amount of K_3CrF_6 in liquid phase. As observed from Fig. 11, the relative amount of K_3CrF_6 in liquid phase is very low, especially when weight fraction of CrF_3 is less than 20 wt.% in FLiNaK. It can be learned that the drive force is small and the K_3CrF_6 cannot separate out under this experimental condition. Therefore, the measured solubility of CrF_3 in FLiNaK is much larger than that the values under the thermodynamic equilibrium state.

Based on the model parameters of LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃ system, solubility of CrF₃ in FLiNaK is calculated considering kinetic factor of K₃CrF₆. Fig. 12 shows the fitting result of calculated solubility of CrF₃ in FLiNaK molten salt, and the correlation S (Solubility, wt. %) = 0.0075 × T(°C)-4.29 (R² = 0.92). A complete set of thermodynamic parameters for LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃ has been established preliminarily, which can predict the solubility of CrF₃ and form structure in FLiNaK during the operation of MSR.



Fig. 12. Calculated solubility of CrF₃ in FLiNaK eutectic and the fitting curve.

Invariant points in the LiF-KF-CrF₃, NaF-KF-CrF₃ and LiF-NaF-CrF₃.

Ternary system	Reaction type		Temp. (°C)	CrF ₃ (wt	.) KF ((wt.) LiF (wt.)
LiF-KF-CrF ₃	$Liquid \xrightarrow{E1} Li_3 CrF_6 + K_3 CF_6 + K$	Halite	719.93	0.39	0.04	40 0.57
Ternary system	Reaction type	Temp. (°C)	Ci	rF ₃ (wt.)	KF (wt.)	NaF (wt.)
NaF-KF-CrF ₃ Liquid $\xrightarrow{E1}$ Na ₃ CrF ₆ + K ₃ CrF ₆ +	- Halite 835.31	0.17	0.	19	0.64	
Ternary system	Reaction type		Temp. (°C)	CrF ₃ (wt.)	LiF (wt.)	NaF (wt.)
LiF-NaF-CrF ₃	$Liquid \xrightarrow{E1} Na_3 CrF_6 + Halite#1 + H$	alite#2	647.530	0.018	0.470	0.512
	$Liquid \xrightarrow{E2} Na_3CrF_6 + Li_3CrF_6 + Ha$	lite#2	716.540	0.396	0.557	0.047
	$Liquid \xrightarrow{E3} NaCrF_4 + Li_3CrF_6 + CrF_3$		781.430	0.696	0.286	0.018
	$Liquid \xrightarrow{E4} NaCrF_4 + Li_3CrF_6 + Na_50$	Cr_3F_{1A}	815.90	0.640	0.200	0.160
	$Liquid + Na_3CrF_6 \xrightarrow{U1} Li_3CrF_6 + Nc$	$1_5Cr_3F_{14}$	828.28	0.620	0.180	0.200

5. Conclusions

A complete self-consistent thermodynamic description of the KF- CrF_3 and NaF- CrF_3 system has been obtained based on the CALPHAD method in this work. The associated solution model are successfully applied to describe the liquid phase with short range ordering species. Calculated mixing enthalpy in liquid phase of MF- CrF_3 (M = Na and K) system is consistent with the empirical prediction considering the errors. The formation enthalpy for intermediate phases calculated from CALPHAD method agrees well with the first-principle calculation within the allowable experimental errors.

The thermodynamic parameters of LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃ system is preliminarily established, based on all the binary subsystems using Muggianu extrapolation. The calculated solubility of CrF₃ in FLiNaK at 600 °C is in good agreement with the measured value by ORNL, and the fitting result of calculated solubility of CrF₃ in FLiNaK with temperature has been obtained considering the dynamic factor of K₃CrF₆ during the initial stage. This can provide a serial of thermodynamic predictions on the phase equilibria and thermodynamic properties in the quaternary LiF-NaF-KF-CrF₃ system, and will also contribute to calculating other salt systems with more components. It can be used to provide guides during the operation of MSR.

Acknowledgments

The authors deeply appreciate Wang Kun and Zhu Jun for the guidance of phase diagram optimization.

This research is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51601212, 51604257 and 21406256), Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS (2015214) and "Strategic Priority Research Program" of Chinese Academy of Sciences [Grant Number XDA02002400].

References

- [1] T. Abram, S. Ion, Energy Policy 36 (2008) 4323.
- [2] D. LeBlanc, Report for US Thorium Alliance (2009) [J/OL]. http://www.torium.Se/ res/Documents/dleblancnewvisiongenivpdf.Pdf.
- [3] C. Renault, M. Hron, R. Konings, D.E. Holcomb, Paris, France, GIF Symposium (2009) 191.
- [4] R. Hargraves, R. Moir, Am. Sci. 98 (2010) 304.
- [5] D. LeBlanc, Nucl. Eng. Des. 240 (2010) 1644.
- [6] K. Furukawa, K. Arakawa, L.B. Erbay, Y. Ito, Y. Kato, H. Kiyavitskaya, A. Lecocq, K. Mitachi, R. Moir, H. Numata, J.P. Pleasant, Y. Sato, Y. Shimazu, V.A. Simonenco,

D.D. Sood, C. Urban, R. Yoshioka, 1832, Energy Conv. Manag. 49 (2008).

- [7] B. Yildiz, M.S. Kazimi, Int. J. Hydrog Energy 31 (2006) 77.
 [8] C.W. Forsberg, C. Renault, C.L. Brun, E. Merle-Lucotte, V. Ignatiev, Nice, France,
- Proceedings of ICAPP'07 (2007).[9] M.W. Rosenthal, P.N. Haubenreich, R.B. Briggs, The Development Status of Molten-
- Salt Breeder Reactors, ORNL Report No. 4812, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, US, (1972).[10] M.B. Seregin, A.P. Parshin, A.Y. Kuznetsov, L.I. Ponomarev, S.A. Mel'nikov,
- A.A. Mikhalichenko, A.A. Rzheutskii, R.N. Manuilov, Radiochemistry 53 (2011) 69.
 [11] L.C. Olson, J.W. Ambrosek, K. Sridharan, M.H. Anderson, T.R. Allen, J. Fluorine Chem. 130 (2009) 67.
- [12] M.B. Seregin, A.P. Parshin, A.Y. Kuznetsov, L.I. Ponomarev, S.A. Mel'nikov, A.A. Mikhalichenko, A.A. Rzheutskii, R.N. Manuilov, Radiochemistry 53 (2011) 491.
- [13] D. Williams, L. Toth, K. Clarno, Assessment of Candidate Molten Salt Coolants for the Advanced High Temperature Reactor (AHTR), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, (2006) report No. ORNL/TM-2006/12.
- [14] L.S. Richardson, D.C. Vreeland, W.D. Manly, ORNL Report No. 1491, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, US, (1953).
- [15] L.C. Olson, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 2009.
- [16] J.H. Shaffer, ORNL Report No. 4616, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, US, (1971).
- [17] W.H. Jordan, S.J. Cromer, R.I. Strough, A.J. Miller, A.W. Savolainen, ORNL Report No. 1816, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, US, (1955).
- [18] K. Wang, J.-H. Cheng, P. Zhang, Y. Zuo, L.-D. Xie, Chin. J. Eng. 36 (2014) 1666.
- [19] H.-Q. Yin, K. Wang, W.-G. Liu, L.-D. Xie, H. Han, W.-F. Wang, 2668, Chem. J. Chin. Univ. 35 (2014).
- [20] H.-Q. Yin, K. Wang, L.-D. Xie, H. Han, W.-F. Wang, Chem. Res. Chin. Univ. 31 (2014) 461.
- [21] A.D. Kozak, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. 268 (1969) 416.
- [22] A.D. Kozak, M. Samouel, J. Less-Common Metals 40 (1975) 185.
- [23] Z.-K. Liu, J. Phase Equilib. Diffus. 30 (2009) 517.
- [24] V.H. Bode, E. Voss, Strukturen der hexafluorometallate(III), Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 290 (1957) 1.
- [25] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 11169.
- [26] J.P. Perdew, J. Chevary, S. Vosko, K.A. Jackson, M.R. Pederson, D. Singh, C. Fiolhais, Phys. Rev. B 46 (1992) 6671.
- [27] P.E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 17953.
- [28] K. Wang, Z.-J. Fei, J. Wang, Z. Wu, C.-H. Li, L.-D. Xie, Mater. Chem. Phys. 163 (2015) 73.
- [29] K. Wang, C. Robelin, Z. Wu, C.-H. Li, L.-D. Xie, P. Chartrand, J. Alloys Compd. 663 (2016) 885.
- [30] X. Li, K. Wang, L.-D. Xie, Fluid Phase Equilib. 449 (2017) 18.
- [31] R.D. Shannon, Acta Cryst. Sect. A 32 (1976) 751.
- [32] K.C. Hong, O.J. Kleppa, J. Phys. Chem. 82 (1978) 176.
- [33] M. Gaune-Escard, M. Hoch, J. Alloys Compd. 321 (2001) 267.
- [34] G. Hatem, M. Gaune-Escard, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 25 (1993) 219.
- [35] Glushko Thermocenter of the Russian Academy of Sciences, IVTAN Association, Izhorskaya 13/19, 127412 Moscow, Russia (1996).
- [36] S.J. Zhang, C. Brubaker, C. Jiang, M. Yang, Y. Zhong, Q.Y. Han, Z.K. Liu, Mater. Sci. Eng. A 418 (2006) 161.
- [37] A.T. Dinsdale, Calphad 15 (1991) 317.
- [38] H. Peng, M. Shen, C.-Y. Wang, T. Su, Y. Zuo, L.-D. Xie, RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 76689.
- [39] O. Redlich, A. Kister, Ind. Eng. Chem. 40 (1948) 345.
 [40] W.H. Jordan, S.J. Cromer, A.J. Miller, ORNL Report No. 2157, (1956), p. 105 Oak
- Ridge, Tennessee, US.